

# **Notice – Change of Meeting Time – This Meeting Only!**

The September 19<sup>th</sup>, 2008,  
Mountain/Desert - Measure I Committee meeting  
will start one hour later than our regularly scheduled time.

Mountain/Desert-Measure I Committee Meeting

Start Time: 10:00 a.m.

Town of Apple Valley  
14955 Dale Evans Parkway  
Apple Valley, CA

The above time change was made to accommodate a  
Victor Valley Subarea meeting, which will be held on the  
same date at 9:00 AM, at the Town of Apple Valley,  
prior to the start of the  
Mountain Desert - Measure I Committee meeting.

**Please mark your calendars accordingly.**

Thank you.



## San Bernardino Associated Governments

1170 W. 3<sup>rd</sup> Street, San Bernardino, CA 92410

Phone: (909) 884-8276 Fax: (909) 885-4407

Web: [www.sanbag.ca.gov](http://www.sanbag.ca.gov)



---

•San Bernardino County Transportation Commission •San Bernardino County Transportation Authority  
•San Bernardino County Congestion Management Agency •Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies

---

## AGENDA

### Mountain/Desert Committee Measure I Committee

September 19, 2008

**10:00 a.m.**

***(Time Change – This Meeting Only!)***

**Town of Apple Valley  
14955 Dale Evans Parkway  
Apple Valley, CA**

### **Mountain/Desert Committee Membership**

#### **Chair**

***Brad Mitzelfelt  
Board of Supervisors***

***Lawrence Dale, Mayor  
City of Barstow***

***Mike Rothschild, Council Member  
City of Victorville***

#### **Vice Chair**

***Bill Jahn, Mayor Pro Tem  
City of Big Bear Lake***

***Mike Leonard, Mayor  
City of Hesperia***

***Bill Neeb, Council Member  
Town of Yucca Valley***

***Trinidad Perez, Mayor Pro Tem  
City of Adelanto***

***Rebecca Valentine, Council Member  
City of Needles***

***Dennis Hansberger  
Board of Supervisors***

***Rick Roelle, Council Member  
Town of Apple Valley***

***Jim Harris, Council Member  
City of Twentynine Palms***

*San Bernardino Associated Governments (SANBAG) is a council of governments formed in 1973 by joint powers agreement of the cities and the County of San Bernardino. SANBAG is governed by a Board of Directors consisting of a mayor or designated council member from each of the twenty-four cities in San Bernardino County and the five members of the San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors*

*In addition to SANBAG, the composition of the SANBAG Board of Directors also serves as the governing board for several separate legal entities listed below:*

*The San Bernardino County Transportation Commission, which is responsible for short and long range transportation planning within San Bernardino County, including coordination and approval of all public mass transit service, approval of all capital development projects for public transit and highway projects, and determination of staging and scheduling of construction relative to all transportation improvement projects in the Transportation Improvement Program.*

*The San Bernardino County Transportation Authority, which is responsible for administration of the voter-approved half-cent transportation transactions and use tax levied in the County of San Bernardino.*

*The Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies, which is responsible for the administration and operation of a motorist aid system of call boxes on State freeways and highways within San Bernardino County.*

*The Congestion Management Agency, which analyzes the performance level of the regional transportation system in a manner which ensures consideration of the impacts from new development and promotes air quality through implementation of strategies in the adopted air quality plans.*

*As a Subregional Planning Agency, SANBAG represents the San Bernardino County subregion and assists the Southern California Association of Governments in carrying out its functions as the metropolitan planning organization. SANBAG performs studies and develops consensus relative to regional growth forecasts, regional transportation plans, and mobile source components of the air quality plans.*

*Items which appear on the monthly Board of Directors agenda are subjects of one or more of the listed legal authorities. For ease of understanding and timeliness, the agenda items for all of these entities are consolidated on one agenda. Documents contained in the agenda package are clearly marked with the appropriate legal entity.*

**San Bernardino Associated Governments  
County Transportation Commission  
County Transportation Authority  
Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies  
County Congestion Management Agency**

**AGENDA**

**Mountain/Desert Committee  
\*Measure I Committee**

**September 19, 2008  
10:00 a.m.**

**Town of Apple Valley  
14955 Dale Evans Parkway  
Apple Valley, CA**

**CALL TO ORDER:  
(Meeting Chaired by Brad Mitzelfelt)**

- I. Attendance**
- II. Agenda Notices/Modifications:**
- II. Announcements:**

1. **Possible Conflict of Interest Issues for the Mountain/Desert Committee Meeting of September 19, 2008.** Pg. 6

Note agenda item contractors, subcontractors and agents, which may require member abstentions due to conflict of interest and financial interests. Board Member abstentions shall be stated under this item for recordation on the appropriate item.

### **Consent Calendar**

2. **Attendance Register** Pg. 7

A quorum shall consist of a majority of the membership of each SANBAG Policy Committee, except that all County Representatives shall be counted as one for the purpose of establishing a quorum.

### **Discussion Items**

\* Items marked with an asterisk denote review by both the Mountain/Desert Committee and Measure I Committee.

- \*3. **Measure I 2010-2040 Strategic Plan – further development of a financially feasible strategy for Measure I 2010-2040 Major Local Highway Programs for Mountain/Desert Subareas** Pg. 9

Receive information and provide direction to staff on further development of a financially feasible strategy for Measure I 2010-2040 Major Local Highway Programs for Mountain/Desert Subareas. **Steve Smith**

- \*4. **Project Advancement Agreement Repayment** Pg. 15

Receive information and provide direction on potential policies for repayment of Project Advancement Agreements. **Steve Smith**

- \*5. **Mountain/Desert Measure I Audits** Pg. 19

Accept the Measure I Summary Audit Report of Local Pass-Through Funds for the year ending June 30, 2007, for the jurisdictions in the Mountain/Desert Subregion. **Ryan Graham**

- |  |                      |
|--|----------------------|
| <p><b>6. United States (US) 395 Interim Widening Project Update</b></p> <p>Receive Report and Provide Direction. <b>Ellen Pollema</b></p>  | <p><b>Pg. 47</b></p> |
| <p><b>7. State Route (SR) 62 Improvements</b></p> <p>Approve transmission of letters to Karla Sutliff, Director, Caltrans District 8 and Anne Mayer, Executive Director, Riverside County Transportation Commission, regarding prioritization of improvements along SR 62 in San Bernardino and Riverside Counties. <b>Michelle Kirkhoff</b></p>   | <p><b>Pg. 50</b></p> |
| <p><b>8. SANBAG's FTA Section 5304 Planning Grant – Victor Valley Long Distance Commuter Needs Assessment Study</b></p> <p>1. Approve and release the Request for Proposal RFP 09082 to conduct the Victor Valley Long Distance Commuter Needs Assessment Study</p> <p>2. Approve amendment to Budget Task Local Transportation Planning Fund 50209000, replacing \$72,000 in LTF Planning with \$72,000 from the Statewide Transit Planning Studies Grant. <b>Beth Kranda</b></p> | <p><b>Pg. 56</b></p> |

**Public Comments**

Items under this heading will be referred to staff for further study, research, completion and/or future actions.

- 9. Additional Items from Committee Members**
- 10. Brief Comments by the General Public**

**Additional Information**

<b>Acronym List</b>	<b>Pg. 61</b>
---------------------	---------------

**ADJOURNMENT:**

Complete packages of this agenda are available for public review at the SANBAG offices. Staff reports for items may be made available upon request. For additional information call (909) 884-8276.

**Next Mountain/Desert Committee Meeting – Friday, October 17, 2008**

## Meeting Procedures and Rules of Conduct

### **Meeting Procedures**

The Ralph M. Brown Act is the state law which guarantees the public's right to attend and participate in meetings of local legislative bodies. These rules have been adopted by the Board of Directors in accordance with the Brown Act, Government Code 54950 et seq., and shall apply at all meetings of the Board of Directors and Policy Committees.

### **Accessibility**

The SANBAG meeting facility is accessible to persons with disabilities. If assistive listening devices or other auxiliary aids or services are needed in order to participate in the public meeting, requests should be made through the Clerk of the Board at least three (3) business days prior to the Board meeting. The Clerk's telephone number is (909) 884-8276 and office is located at 1170 W. 3<sup>rd</sup> Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, San Bernardino, CA.

**Agendas** – All agendas are posted at 1170 W. 3<sup>rd</sup> Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, San Bernardino at least 72 hours in advance of the meeting. Staff reports related to agenda items may be reviewed at the SANBAG offices located at 1170 W. 3<sup>rd</sup> Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, San Bernardino and our website: [www.sanbag.ca.gov](http://www.sanbag.ca.gov).

**Agenda Actions** – Items listed on both the "Consent Calendar" and "Items for Discussion" contain suggested actions. The Board of Directors will generally consider items in the order listed on the agenda. However, items may be considered in any order. New agenda items can be added and action taken by two-thirds vote of the Board of Directors.

**Closed Session Agenda Items** – Consideration of closed session items *excludes* members of the public. These items include issues related to personnel, pending litigation, labor negotiations and real estate negotiations. Prior to each closed session, the Chair will announce the subject matter of the closed session. If action is taken in closed session, the Chair may report the action to the public at the conclusion of the closed session.

**Public Testimony on an Item** – Members of the public are afforded an opportunity to speak on any listed item. Individuals wishing to address the Board of Directors or Policy Committee Members should complete a "Request to Speak" form, provided at the rear of the meeting room, and present it to the Clerk prior to the Board's consideration of the item. A "Request to Speak" form must be completed for *each* item an individual wishes to speak on. When recognized by the Chair, speakers should be prepared to step forward and announce their name and address for the record. In the interest of facilitating the business of the Board, speakers are limited to three (3) minutes on each item. Additionally, a twelve (12) minute limitation is established for the total amount of time any one individual may address the Board at any one meeting. The Chair or a majority of the Board may establish a different time limit as appropriate, and parties to agenda items shall not be subject to the time limitations.

The Consent Calendar is considered a single item, thus the three (3) minute rule applies. Consent Calendar items can be pulled at Board member request and will be brought up individually at the specified time in the agenda allowing further public comment on those items.

**Agenda Times** – The Board is concerned that discussion take place in a timely and efficient manner. Agendas may be prepared with estimated times for categorical areas and certain topics to be discussed. These times may vary according to the length of presentation and amount of resulting discussion on agenda items.

**Public Comment** – At the end of the agenda, an opportunity is also provided for members of the public to speak on any subject within the Board's authority. *Matters raised under "Public Comment" may not be acted upon at that meeting. "Public Testimony on any Item" still apply.*

**Disruptive Conduct** – If any meeting of the Board is willfully disrupted by a person or by a group of persons so as to render the orderly conduct of the meeting impossible, the Chair may recess the meeting or order the person, group or groups of person willfully disrupting the meeting to leave the meeting or to be removed from the meeting. Disruptive conduct includes addressing the Board without first being recognized, not addressing the subject before the Board, repetitiously addressing the same subject, failing to relinquish the podium when requested to do so, or otherwise preventing the Board from conducting its meeting in an orderly manner. *Please be aware that a NO SMOKING policy has been established for meetings. Your cooperation is appreciated!*

SANBAG General Practices for Conducting Meetings  
of  
Board of Directors and Policy Committees

**Basic Agenda Item Discussion.**

- The Chair announces the agenda item number and states the subject.
- The Chair calls upon the appropriate staff member or Board Member to report on the item.
- The Chair asks members of the Board/Committee if they have any questions or comments on the item. General discussion ensues.
- The Chair calls for public comment based on “Request to Speak” forms which may be submitted.
- Following public comment, the Chair announces that public comment is closed and asks if there is any further discussion by members of the Board/Committee.
- The Chair calls for a motion from members of the Board/Committee.
- Upon a motion, the Chair announces the name of the member who makes the motion. Motions require a second by a member of the Board/Committee. Upon a second, the Chair announces the name of the Member who made the second, and the vote is taken.

**The Vote as specified in the SANBAG Bylaws.**

- Each member of the Board of Directors shall have one vote. In the absence of the official representative, the alternate shall be entitled to vote. (Board of Directors only.)
- Voting may be either by voice or roll call vote. A roll call vote shall be conducted upon the demand of five official representatives present, or at the discretion of the presiding officer.

**Amendment or Substitute Motion.**

- Occasionally a Board Member offers a substitute motion before the vote on a previous motion. In instances where there is a motion and a second, the maker of the original motion is asked if he would like to amend his motion to include the substitution or withdraw the motion on the floor. If the maker of the original motion does not want to amend or withdraw, the substitute motion is not addressed until after a vote on the first motion.
- Occasionally, a motion dies for lack of a second.

**Call for the Question.**

- At times, a member of the Board/Committee may “Call for the Question.”
- Upon a “Call for the Question,” the Chair may order that the debate stop or may allow for limited further comment to provide clarity on the proceedings.
- Alternatively and at the Chair’s discretion, the Chair may call for a vote of the Board/Committee to determine whether or not debate is stopped.
- The Chair re-states the motion before the Board/Committee and calls for the vote on the item.

**The Chair.**

- At all times, meetings are conducted in accordance with the Chair’s direction.
- These general practices provide guidelines for orderly conduct.
- From time-to-time circumstances require deviation from general practice.
- Deviation from general practice is at the discretion of the Board/Committee Chair.

**Courtesy and Decorum.**

- These general practices provide for business of the Board/Committee to be conducted efficiently, fairly and with full participation.
- It is the responsibility of the Chair and Members to maintain common courtesy and decorum.



- San Bernardino County Transportation Commission ■ San Bernardino County Transportation Authority  
■ San Bernardino County Congestion Management Agency ■ Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies

## *Minute Action*

AGENDA ITEM: 1

**Date:** September 19, 2008

**Subject:** Information Relative to Possible Conflict of Interest

**Recommendation\*:** Note agenda items and contractors/subcontractors which may require member abstentions due to possible conflicts of interest.

**Background:** In accordance with California Government Code 84308, members of the Board may not participate in any action concerning a contract where they have received a campaign contribution of more than \$250 in the prior twelve months from an entity or individual. This agenda contains recommendations for action relative to the following contractors:

Item No.	Contract No.	Contractor/Agents	Subcontractors
		None	

**Financial Impact:** This item has no direct impact on the budget.

**Reviewed By:** This item is prepared monthly for review by the Board of Directors and Policy Committee members.

\*

*Approved  
Mountain Desert Committee*

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Moved: \_\_\_\_\_ Second: \_\_\_\_\_

In Favor: \_\_\_\_\_ Opposed: \_\_\_\_\_ Abstained: \_\_\_\_\_

Witnessed: \_\_\_\_\_

# MOUNTAIN/DESERT POLICY COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE RECORD - 2008

Name	Jan	Spec. Mtg Feb	Feb	March	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
<b>Trinidad Perez +</b> City of Adelanto	X		**		***	X		X	X				
<b>Rick Roelle</b> Town of Apple Valley	X	X	**	X	**	X	X		X				
<b>Lawrence Dale</b> City of Barstow	X	X	**	X	**	X	X	X	X				
<b>Bill Jahn</b> City of Big Bear Lake	X	X	**	X	**	X	*		X				
<b>Mike Leonard</b> City of Hesperia		X	**	X	**	X	X	X	X				
<b>Rebecca Valentine</b> City of Needles	X	X	**		**	X	X	X	X				
<b>Jim Harris</b> City of Twentynine Palms	X	X	**	X	**		X	X	X				
<b>Mike Rothschild</b> City of Victorville	X	X	**	X	**	X	X						
<b>Bill Neeb ***</b> Town of Yucca Valley			**		**	X	X	X	X				
<b>Brad Mitzelfelt</b> County of San Bernardino	X	X	**	X	**		X	X	X				
<b>Dennis Hansberger</b> County of San Bernardino	X	X	**	X	**		X	X	X				

\*Non-voting City Representative attended  
+ Measure I Committee representative

\*\*The Mountain/Desert Committee did not meet

\*\*\* New SANBAG Board Member

X = Member attended meeting.  
MD Catt08.doc

\* = Alternate member attended meeting. Empty box = Member did not attend meeting. Crossed out box = Not a Board Member at the time.

# MOUNTAIN/DESERT POLICY COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE RECORD – 2007

Name	Jan	Feb	March	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
<b>Trinidad Perez +</b> City of Adelanto	X	X	X	**	**	X	**	X	X	X	X	X
<b>Rick Roelle</b> Town of Apple Valley	X		X	**	**	X	**	X	X		X	X
<b>Lawrence Dale</b> City of Barstow	X	X	X	**	**	X	**	X			X	X
<b>Bill Jahn</b> City of Big Bear Lake			X	**	**		**	X		X	X	X
<b>Mike Leonard</b> City of Hesperia	X		X	**	**	X	**	X	X	X	X	X
<b>Rebecca Valentine</b> City of Needles	X	X	X	**	**	X	**	X	X	X	X	X
<b>Jim Harris ***</b> City of Twentynine Palms	X		X	**	**	X	**	X			X	X
<b>Mike Rothschild</b> City of Victorville	X	X	X	**	**	X	**	X	X		X	*
<b>Chad Mayes</b> Town of Yucca Valley		X	X	**	**	X	**	X	X	*	X	
<b>Brad Mitzelfelt</b> County of San Bernardino	X	X		**	**	X	**	X		X	X	X
<b>Dennis Hansberger</b> County of San Bernardino		X	X	**	**	X	**	X	X	X	X	X

\*Non-voting City Representative attended  
+ Measure I Committee representative

\*\*The Mountain/Desert Committee did not meet

\*\*\* New SANBAG Board Member

·X = Member attended meeting. \* = Alternate member attended meeting. Empty box = Member did not attend meeting. Crossed out box = Not a Board Member at the time.

Mdcatt07.doc

Page 1 of 1

## *Minute Action*

### AGENDA ITEM: 3\*

**Date:** September 19, 2008

**Subject:** Measure I 2010-2040 Strategic Plan – further development of a financially feasible strategy for Measure I 2010-2040 Major Local Highway Programs for Mountain/Desert Subareas

**Recommendation:\*** Receive information and provide direction to staff on further development of a financially feasible strategy for Measure I 2010-2040 Major Local Highway Programs for Mountain/Desert Subareas

**Background:** The Strategic Plan for Measure I 2010-2040 is being prepared as a basis for establishing the policies and procedures to be used by the SANBAG Board of Directors in administering the programs delineated in the new Measure. This agenda item provides a status report on progress toward identifying potential delivery dates and possible bonding needs for delivery of priority projects in Mountain/Desert subareas. The focus of this item is principally on the Victor Valley, but efforts are also ongoing with jurisdictions representing the other subareas.

SANBAG staff is currently working with local jurisdictions in Mountain/Desert subareas to identify near-term projects for which access to the 25 percent Major Local Highway (MLH) Program portion of Measure I dollars may be desired within each subarea.

---

*Approved*  
*Mountain/Desert Committee*

*Date:* September 19, 2008

*Moved:* \_\_\_\_\_ *Second:* \_\_\_\_\_

*In Favor:* \_\_\_\_\_ *Opposed:* \_\_\_\_\_ *Abstained:* \_\_\_\_\_

*Witnessed:* \_\_\_\_\_

Electronic spreadsheets and a request for project information were distributed to Victor Valley jurisdictions on June 16, 2008. Over the subsequent ten weeks, information was received from the jurisdictions regarding priority projects for which MLH funds will be requested. SANBAG staff and SANBAG's financial advisor, Montague/Derose and Associates, have entered the information into a cash-flow model. The model can be used to test a range of pay-as-you-go and bonding scenarios and to evaluate the sensitivity of results to a variety of input assumptions.

The priority projects submitted by the jurisdictions, Measure I funding need, and year in which the Measure I MLH funds are shown to be needed include:

- Adelanto
  - El Mirage Rd. 2 lanes with center turn lane (US-395 to Koala Rd) – Measure I request for \$3.5 million in FY 2011/2012
- Apple Valley
  - Yucca Loma Bridge and roadway improvements between Yucca Loma Road and Yates Road – Measure I request for \$15.8 million in FY 2011/2012
- County of San Bernardino
  - Widen Yates Road from 2 lanes to 4 lanes (part of Yucca Loma Bridge project) - \$1.6 million in FY 2010/2011
  - High Desert Corridor West – Measure I request for \$1 million for project development in FY 2010/2011
- Hesperia
  - I-15/Ranchero Interchange – Measure I request for \$22 million in FY 2011/2012
  - Ranchero Road improvements – Mariposa Road to Seventh Ave. – Measure I request for \$6.4 million in FY 2010/2011
- Victorville
  - I-15/LaMesa/Nisqualli Interchange – Measure I request for \$10 million in FY 2009/2010 and \$20 million in FY 2010/2011
- Other projects in the Victor Valley jurisdictions' priority list but not submitted in the detailed spreadsheets include:
  - Green Tree Extension (Hesperia Road to Yates Road) – Measure I request for \$14 million
  - High Desert Corridor east of US-395 (right-of-way) – Measure I request for \$18 million

The total Measure I request for scheduled projects by FY 2011/2012 is \$80.3 million. If the Green Tree Extension and High Desert Corridor projects are added, the total is \$112.3.

A meeting was held with Victor Valley jurisdiction staff on September 8th to discuss the projected revenue stream for the MLH portion of Measure I for the Victor Valley subarea. The annual and cumulative projected revenue stream is shown in Table 1. This is based on Dr. John Husing's estimates of total Measure I 2010-2040 revenue (in 2008 dollars) of \$7.25 billion, with estimated allocations of that amount by subarea and program according to the Measure I Expenditure Plan. As indicated in the table, the estimated revenue begins at almost \$4 million per year in FY 2010-2011 and gradually increases, based on projected growth in population and retail sales, to over \$16 million in the final year, in 2008 dollars. Because of inflation, the actual amount collected will be greater than the numbers shown in Table 1, but it is important to make comparisons between anticipated expenditures and anticipated revenues on the same basis.

It is also worth noting that only about half of the total expected revenue (about \$270 million in 2008 dollars) will be collected in the first 20 years of the new Measure. Based on the cumulative revenue in Table 1, the \$80.3 million request by FY 2010-2012 would be matched in revenue by FY 23/24. The total of \$112.3 million in priority projects would be matched by FY 27/28. Thus, a pay-as-you-go strategy would be unacceptable for delivery of these projects.

Given that there is a large demand for MLH funds in the Victor Valley in the early years of the Measure, the cash-flow analysis was focused on an aggressive bonding scenario. SANBAG staff and Montague/Derose reviewed the projected revenue stream for MLH funds and compared that to the expenditure stream required for timely delivery of the priority projects as contained in the spreadsheets submitted by the jurisdictions. The cash-flow analysis is being conducted in escalated (i.e. inflated) dollars, with revenue inflated at 3.5% per year and costs escalated at 5.0% per year. The bond interest rate has been estimated at 5.5% per year. These assumptions can be easily modified in the analysis tool to test "what-if" scenarios.

**Table 1. Estimate of Revenue for the Major Local Highway Portion of Measure I 2010-2040 in the Victor Valley by Fiscal Year, in millions of 2008 Dollars**

	Estimate of Annual Revenues (\$2008)	Estimate of Cumulative (\$2008)
FY 10/11	\$3.8	\$3.8
FY 11/12	\$4.1	\$8.0
FY 12/13	\$4.5	\$12.4
FY 13/14	\$4.8	\$17.2
FY 14/15	\$5.1	\$ 22.4
FY 15/16	\$ 5.5	\$ 27.8
FY 16/17	\$ 5.6	\$ 33.4
FY 17/18	\$ 5.7	\$ 39.1
FY 18/19	\$ 6.1	\$ 45.1
FY 19/20	\$ 6.4	\$ 51.5
FY 20/21	\$ 6.8	\$ 58.4
FY 21/22	\$ 7.3	\$ 65.7
FY 22/23	\$ 7.7	\$ 73.4
FY 23/24	\$ 8.1	\$ 81.5
FY 24/25	\$ 8.5	\$ 90.0
FY 25/26	\$ 8.6	\$ 98.6
FY 26/27	\$ 8.7	\$107.4
FY 27/28	\$ 9.2	\$116.5
FY 28/29	\$ 9.6	\$126.1
FY 29/30	\$10.1	\$136.2
FY 30/31	\$10.6	\$146.7
FY 31/32	\$ 11.1	\$157.8
FY 32/33	\$11.6	\$169.4
FY 33/34	\$12.2	\$181.6
FY 34/35	\$12.8	\$194.4
FY 35/36	\$13.5	\$207.9
FY 36/37	\$14.2	\$222.1
FY 37/38	\$14.9	\$237.0
FY 38/39	\$15.7	\$252.7
FY 39/40	\$16.5	\$269.2

The analysis indicated that, even with an aggressive bonding scenario, schedule adjustments would be necessary to allow the positive cash-flows and a minimum 1.5 debt coverage ratio to be maintained. The initial bonding scenario included four bond issues between 2010 and 2021, with repayment of the bonds carried through 2039. The largest bond issue (\$47.3 million) was assumed for 2010, with interest-only payments for several years until revenue increased to the point where principal could also begin to be repaid. SANBAG staff suggested that the jurisdictions meet to further discuss their options and to provide the information back to SANBAG by early the week of September 15th. This would enable further analysis prior to the Mountain/Desert Committee meeting on September 19th and the Victor Valley subarea meeting now scheduled just prior to the Mountain/Desert Committee meeting. A presentation will be provided by SANBAG staff on the results of this analysis, if it is available. The goal is to provide an aggressive bonding scenario, within the given financial constraints, that can come as close as possible to fully funding the priority projects according to delivery schedules desired by the jurisdictions.

The timing of this analysis is such that the bonding strategy should be outlined, at least in general terms, by the time the draft Measure I 2010-2040 Strategic Plan is prepared in early November 2008. There will then be several months of review of this draft, with approval of the Final Strategic Plan Report by the SANBAG Board in April 2009. Approval is needed in this timeframe so that resource needs can be identified and systems implemented in the first half of FY 2009-2010, prior to receipt of funds from the new Measure.

It is important to note that the issue of repayment of Project Advancement Agreements (PAAs) is directly related to the cash-flow analysis described above. Repayment of PAAs is to be taken from up to 40% of the MLH funds until the agreements are paid off. The actual percentage is to be recommended by the Victor Valley subarea committee, with approval by the Mountain/Desert Committee and the SANBAG Board. Repayment of PAAs will reduce the amount available for other projects and/or available bonding capacity. A set of policies for PAA repayment was proposed at the August Mountain/Desert Committee, but approval of a recommendation was deferred so that further discussion could occur. A separate item in this agenda describes the status of PAA discussions and provides an opportunity for further comment. Decisions on policies for the Strategic Plan and for PAA repayment should be fully coordinated and, ideally, made at the same time.



**Financial Impact:** This item is consistent with the approved Fiscal Year 2008-2009 SANBAG Budget. TN60909000

**Reviewed By:** This item is scheduled for review by the Mountain/Desert Committee on September 19, 2008.

**Responsible Staff:** Steve Smith, Chief of Planning  
Ty Schuiling, Director of Planning and Programming

- San Bernardino County Transportation Commission ■ San Bernardino County Transportation Authority  
■ San Bernardino County Congestion Management Agency ■ Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies

## *Minute Action*

AGENDA ITEM: 4\*

**Date:** September 19, 2008

**Subject:** Project Advancement Agreement Repayment

**Recommendation:\*** Receive information and provide direction on potential policies for repayment of Project Advancement Agreements

**Background:** Following the passage of Measure I 2010-2040 in November 2004, several member agencies indicated an interest in advancing shelf-ready or near-shelf-ready freeway interchange, overcrossing, or arterial projects consistent with the new Expenditure Plan. After several months of consideration, a strategy to advance SANBAG Nexus Study interchange, arterial, and grade separation projects to construction prior to 2010 was approved by the SANBAG Board in December 2005, with the model interagency Project Advancement Agreement approved by the Board in April 2006.

Policies for repayment of Project Advancement Agreements for the Measure I Valley Interchange and Valley Major Street programs were approved by the SANBAG Board on September 3, 2008. A presentation of possible policies for Advancement Agreement repayment for Mountain/Desert subareas was made to the Mountain/Desert Committee on August 15. It was determined by the committee that additional discussion of these policies was needed before the policies could be recommended for approval. There are several differences in

*Approved*  
*Mountain Desert Committee*

*Date:* September 19, 2008

*Moved:* *Second:*

*In Favor:* *Opposed:* *Abstained:*

*Witnessed:* \_\_\_\_\_

circumstances that could affect the way in which the repayment process is implemented in the Valley versus the Mountain/Desert subareas, and it was appropriate, given those differences, for the approval of the Valley and Mountain/Desert policies to proceed on separate timelines.

This agenda item is intended to allow for the discussion of Advancement Agreement policy issues at the September 19 meeting. A recommendation for a repayment process could then be considered at the October Mountain/Desert meeting. Attachment 1 provides a listing of the proposed policies that were presented at the August 15 Mountain/Desert Committee meeting. These may serve as a starting point for discussion. However, it is also possible that the committee may wish to integrate the discussion of Advancement Agreement repayment into the broader discussion of the policy framework for the Measure I Major Local Highway Program. Discussion of this policy framework with the jurisdictions is ongoing.

**Financial Impact:** This item has no impact on the approved Fiscal Year 2008-2009 Budget TN 61009000.

**Reviewed By:** This item is scheduled to be reviewed by the Mountain/Desert Committee on September 19, 2008.

**Responsible Staff:** Steve Smith, Chief of Planning  
Ryan Graham, Transportation Planning Analyst  
Ty Schuiling, Director of Planning and Programming

### Attachment #1

**Possible Measure I 2010-2040 Project Advancement Agreement Policies (originally proposed at the August 15, 2008 meeting of the Mountain/Desert Committee and being further discussed at the September 19 meeting – policies are the same as those recently approved for the Valley, except where Mountain/Desert Subareas are referenced):**

1. **Overall Approach:** Use the reimbursement approach contained in all previously executed project advancement agreements (PAAs), i.e. pay reimbursements to all eligible advanced projects up to 40 percent of the revenues allocated to the program categories from which the projects will be funded.
2. **Commitment of Available Revenues:**  
*Mountain/Desert Subareas* – The commitment percentage (up to 40%) may be determined through a recommendation from the subarea committees, review and approval of the Mountain/Desert Committee, and approval of the SANBAG Board. The commitment percentage may be determined as part of the development of the Strategic Plan or established on an annual basis each year of the Measure.
3. **Order of reimbursement:** The order of reimbursement will be based on date of expenditure. Specifically, the dates of consultant and contractor invoices reflecting actual project expenditures will be used to represent the date of expenditure. Expenditures incurred prior to April 5, 2006 (the date when the model agreement for the Project Advancement Program was adopted by the SANBAG Board of Directors) will not be reimbursed.
4. **Execution of New Project Advancement Agreements:** Allow for execution of new PAAs through January 31, 2009, with expenditures to be reimbursed using the same criteria as existing PAAs. Reimbursement for invoices dated prior to April 2010 (the initiation date of Measure I 2010-2040) and not covered under a PAA cannot be guaranteed. Projects not under PAAs and incurring expenses from April 2010 onward will be reimbursed under policies being developed through the Strategic Plan for the respective programs.
5. **Quarterly Reimbursements:** Reimbursements by SANBAG for eligible expenditures will be provided on a quarterly basis. The first reimbursements will occur in approximately July 2010, following the quarterly reconciliation of sales tax dollars by the State.

6. **Project Cost to be Reimbursed:**

*Mountain/Desert Subareas* - For the Mountain/Desert subareas, SANBAG will pay the Major Local Highway Program portion of the project cost (i.e. the Measure I share) negotiated on a project-by-project basis resulting from a recommendation from the subarea committees, review and approval of the Mountain/Desert Committee, and approval of the SANBAG Board.

7. **Submission of Invoices:** Jurisdictions must submit to SANBAG any reimbursable consultant and contractor invoices, or other verifiable record documenting the magnitude and date of expenditures on the project.

8. **Payment of Invoices by Date of Expenditure:** SANBAG staff will arrange the PAA invoices in order of expenditure (including invoices for projects still in progress), separately for each program and will draw a line at the point where cumulative expenditures (of the Measure I share of the cost) exceed the Board-established apportionment cap for each program for the given quarter. The Measure I share of the cost (i.e. the proportion of the cost being funded by the pertinent Measure I program) for all projects above the line will be reimbursed. All project expenditures below the line will wait for reimbursement until the following quarter. This process of quarterly payments will continue until all PAAs are fully repaid.

- San Bernardino County Transportation Commission ■ San Bernardino County Transportation Authority  
■ San Bernardino County Congestion Management Agency ■ Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies

## *Minute Action*

AGENDA ITEM: 5\*

**Date:** September 19, 2008

**Subject:** Mountain/Desert Measure I Audits

**Recommendation:\*** Accept the Measure I Summary Audit Report of Local Pass-Through Funds for the year ending June 30, 2007, for the jurisdictions in the Mountain/Desert Subregion.

**Background:** Each year SANBAG provides for audits of all local jurisdictions receiving Measure I Local Pass-Through Funds. The audits examine both financial and compliance issues related to Measure I expenditures. This item contains the draft report summarizing audit findings for each jurisdiction in the Mountain/Desert area of the county.

To date, SANBAG has received draft audits for every jurisdiction in the Mountain/Desert. The cumulative information contained in the draft audits indicates that Mountain/Desert jurisdictions received \$26,435,941 in Measure I revenue and had remaining fund balances of \$41,383,500. Although several Mountain/Desert jurisdictions had non-compliance findings, none were of a material nature.

**Financial Impact:** This item has no direct impact upon the SANBAG budget. Measure I Local Pass-Through Funds are distributed by SANBAG and held by each

\*

*Approved*  
*Mountain/Desert Committee*

*Date:* \_\_\_\_\_

*Moved:*      *Second:*

*In Favor:*      *Opposed:*      *Abstained:*

*Witnessed:* \_\_\_\_\_

Mountain/Desert Committee  
September 19, 2008  
Page 2 of 7

local jurisdiction in a special Measure I fund. SANBAG expenses relative to the administration of the Measure I program are consistent with the adopted budget, Task No. 50508000, Measure I Administration – Mountain/Desert General.

***Reviewed By:*** This item is scheduled for review by the Mountain/Desert Committee on September 19, 2008.

***Responsible Staff:*** Ryan Graham, Transportation Planning Analyst

MDC0809A-RPG.DOC  
Attachment:  
MDC0809A1-RPG.PDF  
50508000

## MEASURE I LOCAL PASS-THROUGH FUNDS

### 2006-2007 SUMMARY AUDIT REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2007

The Measure I Local Pass-Through Funds were created as part of the half cent Retail Transactions and Use Tax approved by the voters of San Bernardino County in 1989 to be used for transportation improvements and traffic management. In its capacity as San Bernardino County Transportation Authority, San Bernardino Associated Governments (SANBAG) is responsible for administration of funds and implementation of programs identified in the Measure.

This report provides summary information on revenue and expenditures of the Measure I Local Pass-Through Funds during Fiscal Year 2006-2007 for each of the cities and the County unincorporated areas within each of the Measure I subareas. This report covers the fifteenth year in which Measure I revenue has been distributed to local jurisdictions within San Bernardino County for local transportation projects.

In the Valley subregion of the County, Local Pass-Through Funds are distributed to the cities and County for local street and road projects. Valley jurisdictions receive funds based upon the ratio of their population to the total Valley population. The Mountain/Desert jurisdictions receive Measure I Local Pass-Through Funds to be expended for transportation projects as specified in the Measure, whereby funds are to be expended for local road projects (30%), arterial and regional road projects (65%), and for elderly and handicapped transportation services (5%). Mountain/Desert revenue is allocated to each subarea based upon the funds generated within each subarea and distributed to each jurisdiction within the subarea based upon a formula of 50% population and 50% point of generation.

This summary report provides information relative to both the financial and compliance audits conducted by Miers & Miers, Certified Public Accountants, of twenty-four city funds and the six subarea funds administered by the County. The financial audits consist of examination of financial statements and accounting principles, while the compliance audits examine expenditures to insure conformity with the Measure I Policies adopted by the San Bernardino Associated Governments Board of Directors.

### REVENUE

Local jurisdictions receive retail transactions and use tax revenue from San Bernardino Associated Governments each month, based on the allocation formulas contained in the



Measure I Expenditure Plans. The revenue is maintained in the Special Measure I Transportation Sales Tax Fund of each local jurisdiction. Interest received from the investment

of these funds is deposited into the special Measure I Fund to be used for transportation projects approved by the governing bodies.

In the Mountain/Desert subregions, Measure I Revenue increased in 2006/2007 by 4.38% over the prior year. Following is a summary of the Measure I Local Pass-Through Funds distribution and interest accrued during 2006/2007.

**LOCAL PASS-THROUGH FUNDS**

Year Ending June 30, 2007

<b>SUBREGION</b>	<b>REVENUE</b>	<b>INTEREST</b>	<b>TOTAL REVENUE</b>
Mountain/Desert	\$26,435,941	\$3,635,966	\$30,074,907

**EXPENDITURES AND FUND BALANCES**

Expenditures of Measure I Local Pass-Through Funds are made pursuant to the Five Year Capital Improvement Plans and Twenty-Year Transportation Plans adopted annually by the City/Town Councils and the Board of Supervisors. Specific projects funded by the Measure I Local Pass-Through Funds in each jurisdiction are identified in the jurisdictional tables contained in this report. Total expenditures and fund balances remaining on June 30, 2007, are as follows:

<b>SUBREGION</b>	<b>2005-2006 FUND BALANCE</b>	<b>2006-2007 EXPENDITURES</b>	<b>FUND BALANCE June 30, 2007</b>
Mountain/Desert	\$45,802,036	\$34,493,443	\$41,383,500

**MEASURE I LOCAL PASS-THROUGH FUNDS  
MOUNTAIN DESERT SUBREGION 2006/2007 COMPLIANCE ISSUES**

**In addition the annual financial audit of each jurisdiction receiving Measure I Local Pass-Through Funds, auditors for San Bernardino Associated Governments also conduct an audit to insure compliance with laws, regulations, and policies governing the use of Measure I Transportation Sales and Use Tax Funds. The following listing identifies the non-compliance issues found in each recipient jurisdiction.**

**CITY OF ADELANTO**

Current Year: None found.  
Prior Year: None found.

**TOWN OF APPLE VALLEY**

Current Year: None found.

Prior Year:

1. The Town made expenditures from the Measure I fund for projects not on the Five Year Capital Improvement Plan. This finding has since been resolved.
2. The Town was not in compliance with Measure I Policies. It exceeded the categorical project expenditure limitation of one-half of annual Measure I revenue for local projects. This finding has since been resolved.

**CITY OF BARSTOW**

Current Year: None found.  
Prior Year: None found.

**CITY OF BIG BEAR LAKE**

Current Year: None found.  
Prior Year: None found.

**CITY OF HESPERIA**

Current Year: None found.

Prior Year: The City was not in compliance with Measure I Policies. It had exceeded the categorical project expenditure limitation of one-half of annual

Measure I revenue for local projects. This finding has since been resolved.

**MEASURE I LOCAL PASS-THROUGH FUNDS  
MOUNTAIN DESERT SUBREGION 2006/2007 COMPLIANCE ISSUES**

**CITY OF NEEDLES**

Current Year: None found.

Prior Year: The City made expenditures from the Measure I fund for projects not on the Five Year Capital Improvement Plan. This finding has since been resolved.

**CITY OF TWENTYNINE PALMS**

Current Year: The City made expenditures from the Measure I fund for projects not on the Measure I Capital Improvement Plan.

Prior Year: The City made expenditures from the Measure I fund for projects not on the Five Year Capital Improvement Plan. This finding has since been resolved.

**CITY OF VICTORVILLE**

Current Year: None Found

Prior Year: The City exceeded the categorical project expenditure limitation of one-half of annual Measure I revenue. This finding has not been resolved.

**TOWN OF YUCCA VALLEY**

Current Year:

1. The Town is not in compliance with Measure I Policies. It has exceeded the categorical project expenditure limitation of one-half of annual Measure I revenue for local projects
2. The Town made expenditures from the Measure I fund for projects not on the Measure I Capital Improvement Plan

Prior Year: None found.

**COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO – COLORADO RIVER SUBAREA**

Current Year: None found.

Prior Year: None found.

**MEASURE I LOCAL PASS-THROUGH FUNDS  
MOUNTAIN DESERT SUBREGION 2006/2007 COMPLIANCE ISSUES**

**COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO – NORTH DESERT SUBAREA**

Current Year: None found.

Prior Year: The County was not in compliance with Measure I policies. It had exceeded the categorical project expenditure limitation of one-half of annual Measure I revenue for local projects. This finding has not been resolved.

**COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO –MORONGO BASIN SUBAREA**

Current Year: The County is not in compliance with Measure I policies. It has exceeded the categorical project expenditure limitation of one-half of annual Measure I revenue for local projects.

Prior Year: None found.

**COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO – MOUNTAINS SUBAREA**

Current Year: The County is not in compliance with Measure I policies. It has exceeded the categorical project expenditure limitation of one-half of annual Measure I revenue for regional/arterial and local projects

Prior Year: The County was not in compliance with Measure I policies. It had exceeded the categorical project expenditure limitation of one-half of annual Measure I revenue for regional/arterial and local projects. This finding has not been resolved.

**COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO –VICTOR VALLEY SUBAREA**

Current Year: None found.

Prior Year: None found.

**Attachment: MDC0809A1**

**Mountain/Desert Subregion Statistical Table  
Measure I Local Pass-Through Summary Audit Table  
For the Year Ending June 30, 2007**

Jurisdiction	Revenue	Interest*	Total Revenue	Prior Year Balance **	Expenditures	Ending Balance **	Bal/Rev
Adelanto	\$981,010	\$51,481	\$1,032,491	\$3,240,910	\$1,094,049	\$3,179,352	324%
Apple Valley	\$2,724,713	\$161,775	\$2,886,488	\$3,428,454	\$4,397,307	\$1,917,635	70%
Barstow	\$2,093,073	\$127,221	\$2,220,294	\$548,373	\$2,021,298	\$747,369	36%
Big Bear Lake	\$621,191	\$23,589	\$644,780	\$615,564	\$1,030,681	\$229,663	37%
Hesperia	\$3,820,913	\$197,238	\$4,021,151	(\$918,994)	\$2,867,820	\$234,337	6%
Needles	\$270,569	\$16,021	\$286,590	\$720,546	\$475,712	\$531,424	196%
Twentynine Palms	\$910,372	\$618,791	\$1,529,163	\$1,667,206	\$1,813,253	\$1,383,116	152%
Victorville	\$7,737,517	\$1,137,320	\$8,874,837	\$19,498,312	\$7,727,536	\$20,645,613	267%
Yucca Valley	\$1,274,841	\$118,393	\$1,393,234	\$1,500,729	\$1,908,736	\$985,227	77%
SBCO Colorado River	\$79,904	\$18,095	\$97,999	\$399,789	\$371,641	\$126,147	158%
SBCO North Desert	\$1,362,481	\$298,831	\$1,661,312	\$3,001,768	\$2,729,003	\$1,934,077	142%
SBCO Morongo Basin	\$730,805	\$291,641	\$1,022,446	\$2,363,950	\$1,788,635	\$1,597,761	219%
SBCO Mountains	\$1,729,729	\$252,634	\$1,982,363	\$5,830,779	\$4,003,412	\$3,809,730	220%
SBCO Victor Valley	\$2,098,823	\$322,936	\$2,421,759	\$3,904,650	\$2,264,360	\$4,062,049	194%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$26,435,941</b>	<b>\$3,635,966</b>	<b>\$30,074,907</b>		<b>\$34,493,443</b>	<b>\$41,383,500</b>	<b>157%</b>

\* May include reimbursements to Measure I fund and other revenue transferred in.

\*\* Negative fund balances indicate debt associated with participation in SANBAG bonding program.

**MEASURE I LOCAL PASS-THROUGH FUNDS - MOUNTAIN/DESERT  
2006-2007 SUMMARY AUDIT REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2007**

JURISDICTION	MEASURE I REVENUE	INTEREST*	TOTAL REVENUE	PRIOR YEAR FUND BALANCE	EXPENDITURES	PROJECTS	YEAR END FUND BALANCE
<b>ADELANTO</b>							
Regional/Arterial	\$637,656	\$18,765	\$656,421	\$2,023,049	\$75,926	<b>Total Regional/Arterial</b>	\$2,603,544
					\$6,625	El Mirage Rd./west City limits to	
					\$54,472	Koala Rd., rehabilitation and paving	
					\$14,829	US-395, signalization/widening	
						City-wide Pavement Management	
						System Report	
Local	\$294,303	\$31,856	\$326,159	\$1,149,704	\$983,123	<b>Total Local</b>	\$492,740
					\$21,080	Pearmain St./SR-18 to Seneca,	
						street improvements	
					\$833,979	Bartlett/Verbena/Lee, street	
						improvements	
					\$128,064	City-wide, slurry and crack seal	
E&H Transit	\$49,051	\$860	\$49,911	\$68,157	\$35,000	<b>Total E&amp;H Transit</b>	\$83,068
					\$35,000	Victor Valley Transit Authority	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$981,010</b>	<b>\$51,481</b>	<b>\$1,032,491</b>	<b>\$3,240,910</b>	<b>\$1,094,049</b>	<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<b>\$3,179,352</b>

\* May include reimbursements to the Measure I Fund and other revenue.

**MEASURE I LOCAL PASS-THROUGH FUNDS - MOUNTAIN/DESERT  
2006-2007 SUMMARY AUDIT REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2007**

JURISDICTION	MEASURE I REVENUE	INTEREST*	TOTAL REVENUE	PRIOR YEAR FUND BALANCE	EXPENDITURES	PROJECTS	YEAR END FUND BALANCE
<b>APPLE VALLEY</b>							
Regional/Arterial	\$1,771,063	\$104,598	\$1,875,661	\$2,258,643	\$3,348,444	<b>Total Regional/Arterial</b>	\$785,860
					\$100,000	High Desert Corridor, construct new road	
					\$3,248,444	Apple Valley Rd./Yucca Loma Rd. to Sr-18, widen for bike lanes and improvements to asphalt	
Local	\$817,414	\$48,425	\$865,839	\$1,004,086	\$948,863	<b>Total Local</b>	\$921,062
					\$563,863	Polomoke from Pah-Ute to Sitting Bull; Waymoka from Lone Eagle to end; Lone Eagle from Pokomoke to Standing Bear; Clallam from Sitting Bull to Pah-Ute; Running Deer from Sitting Bull to Pah-Ute; Morning Star from Sitting Bull to Pah-Ute; Standing Bear from Sitting Bull to Pah-Ute; Omani from Clallam to end; Keya from Clallam to end; Okahada from Clallam to end, street rehabilitation	
					\$385,000	City-wide projects, street rehabilitation	
E&H Transit	\$136,236	\$8,752	\$144,988	\$165,725	\$100,000	<b>Total E&amp;H Transit</b>	\$210,713
					\$100,000	VVTA	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$2,724,713</b>	<b>\$161,775</b>	<b>\$2,886,488</b>	<b>\$3,428,454</b>	<b>\$4,397,307</b>	<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<b>\$1,917,635</b>

\* May Include reimbursements to the Measure I fund and other revenue

**MEASURE I LOCAL PASS-THROUGH FUNDS - MOUNTAIN/DESERT  
2006-2007 SUMMARY AUDIT REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2007**

JURISDICTION	MEASURE I REVENUE	INTEREST*	TOTAL REVENUE	PRIOR YEAR** FUND BALANCE	EXPENDITURES	PROJECTS	YEAR END** FUND BALANCE
<b>BARSTOW</b>							
Regional/Arterial	\$1,360,497	\$104,071	\$1,464,568	(\$760,790)	\$212,205	Total Regional/Arterial	\$491,573
Local	\$627,922	\$8,043	\$635,965	\$918,753	\$212,205	Bond Interest	(\$54,375)
					\$1,609,093	Total Local	
					\$237,698	Sequoia, Glacier, Zion, reconstruction	
					\$900,000	W. Main St./Ave. A to Ave. H, reconstruction	
					\$354,184	Nancy St./Ave. A to Ave. G, reconstruction	
					\$116,176	Olis Ave./Main St. to Fredricks St., reconstruction	
					\$1,038	W. Main St./Ave. L and County Rd., reconstruction	
E&H Transit	\$104,654	\$15,107	\$119,761	\$390,410	\$200,000	Total E&H Transit	\$310,171
					\$200,000	Barstow Transit	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$2,093,073</b>	<b>\$127,221</b>	<b>\$2,220,294</b>	<b>\$548,373</b>	<b>\$2,021,298</b>	<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<b>\$747,369</b>

\* May include reimbursements to the Measure I Fund and other revenue.

\*\* Negative balances indicate debt related to Bond Program



**MEASURE I LOCAL PASS-THROUGH FUNDS - MOUNTAIN/DESERT  
2006-2007 SUMMARY AUDIT REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2007**

JURISDICTION	MEASURE I REVENUE	INTEREST*	TOTAL REVENUE	PRIOR YEAR FUND BALANCE	EXPENDITURES	PROJECTS	YEAR END FUND BALANCE
<b>BIG BEAR LAKE</b>							
Regional/Arterial	\$403,774	\$21,543	\$425,317	\$305,668	\$636,775	<b>Total Regional/Arterial</b>	\$94,210
					\$36,565	SR-18/Paine Rd./Village Dr., new signal	
					\$253,350	Catalina Rd., grind and compact	
					\$346,860**	Thrush and Eureka	
Local	\$186,357	\$677	\$187,034	\$275,197	\$359,870	<b>Total Local</b>	\$102,361
					\$190,519	SR-18/Paine Rd./Village Dr., new signal	
					\$125,993***	City-wide, street maintenance	
					\$43,358**	Thrush and Eureka	
E&H Transit	\$31,060	\$1,369	\$32,429	\$34,699	\$34,036	<b>Total E&amp;H Transit</b>	\$33,092
					\$34,036	MARTA	
<b>TOTAL</b>	\$621,191	\$23,589	\$644,780	\$615,564	\$1,030,681	<b>Total Expenditures</b>	\$229,663

\* May include reimbursements to the Measure I Fund and other revenue.

\*\* Expenditures not authorized per the City's Measure I Capital Improvement Plan

\*\*\* Expenditures exceeded the categorical project expenditure limitation of one-half of annual Measure I revenue

**MEASURE I LOCAL PASS-THROUGH FUNDS - MOUNTAIN/DESERT  
2006-2007 SUMMARY AUDIT REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2007**

JURISDICTION	MEASURE I REVENUE	INTEREST*	TOTAL REVENUE	PRIOR YEAR FUND BALANCE	EXPENDITURES	PROJECTS	YEAR END FUND BALANCE
<b>HESPERIA</b>							
Regional/Arterial	\$2,483,593	\$156,197	\$2,639,790	(\$316,302)	\$1,742,602	Total Regional/Arterial	\$580,886
					\$128,195	Bond Interest	
					\$29,808	7th Ave./Main St. to Willow St., street widening	
					\$225,000	US 395/SANBAG Project	
					\$10,846	Main St./C Ave., intersection design for street widening and improvements	
					\$43,780	Main St./Rock Springs Rd., traffic signal	
					\$2,065	Main St./Third Ave., traffic signal upgrade	
					\$1,302,908	City-wide pavement rehabilitation project (E Ave./I Ave. to Main St., I Ave./Bear Valley Rd. to Rancho Rd.) pavement rehabilitation	
Local	\$1,146,274	\$34,475	\$1,180,749	(\$832,332)	\$950,218	<b>Total Local</b>	(\$601,801)
					\$61,724	Bond Interest	

MEASURE I LOCAL PASS-THROUGH FUNDS - MOUNTAIN/DESERT  
2006-2007 SUMMARY AUDIT REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2007

JURISDICTION	MEASURE I REVENUE	INTEREST*	TOTAL REVENUE	PRIOR YEAR FUND BALANCE	EXPENDITURES	PROJECTS	YEAR END FUND BALANCE
HESPERIA, Local (Continued)					\$888,494	City-wide pavement rehabilitation project (Alder St., Cactus Ave., Capri Ave. Capri St., Carmel St., Carob St., Centennial St., Covina St., Deodar St., Devon Ave., Eucalyptus St., Glendale Ave., Guava Ave., Lassen St., Lerner St., Lilac St., Lifford Ave., Lifford Ct., Live Oak St., Madrone St., Manzanita St., Orange St., Orchid St., Pecan Ave., Pepper Ave., Quincey Ave., Sabina Ave., San Pablo Ave., Sheffield St., Sumac Ave., Sycamore St., Talisman St., Tangerine Ave., Tecate Ave., Valencia Ct., Valencia St., Verano St., Wisteria Ct., Wisteria St., Yucca St.) Pavement rehabilitation	
E&H Transit	\$191,046	\$6,566	\$200,612	\$229,640		Total E&H Transit	\$255,252
						VVTA Transit	
TOTAL	\$3,820,913	\$197,238	\$4,021,151	(\$918,994)	\$2,867,820	Total Expenditures	\$234,337

\* May include reimbursements to the Measure I Fund and other revenue.

**MEASURE I LOCAL PASS-THROUGH FUNDS - MOUNTAIN/DESERT  
2006-2007 SUMMARY AUDIT REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2007**

JURISDICTION	MEASURE I REVENUE	INTEREST*	TOTAL REVENUE	PRIOR YEAR FUND BALANCE	EXPENDITURES	PROJECTS	YEAR END FUND BALANCE
<b>NEEDLES</b>							
Regional/Arterial	\$175,870	\$6,235	\$182,105	\$410,212	\$463,503	Total Regional/Arterial	\$128,814
					\$462,994	W. Broadway/Needles Hwy., Front St., and Third St. slurry seal	
					\$509	Congestion Management Plan	
Local	\$81,171	\$9,071	\$90,242	\$279,710	\$0	Total Local	\$369,952
E&H Transit	\$13,528	\$715	\$14,243	\$30,624	\$12,209	Total E&H Transit	\$32,658
					\$12,209	Needles Area Transit	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$270,569</b>	<b>\$16,021</b>	<b>\$286,590</b>	<b>\$720,546</b>	<b>\$475,712</b>	<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<b>\$531,424</b>

\* May include reimbursements to the Measure I Fund and other revenue.

\*\* Expenditures not authorized per the City's Measure I Capital Improvement Plan

**MEASURE I LOCAL PASS-THROUGH FUNDS - MOUNTAIN/DESERT  
2006-2007 SUMMARY AUDIT REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2007**

JURISDICTION	MEASURE I REVENUE	INTEREST*	TOTAL REVENUE	PRIOR YEAR FUND BALANCE	EXPENDITURES	PROJECTS	YEAR END FUND BALANCE
<b>TWENTYNINE PALMS</b>							
Regional/Arterial	\$591,742	\$595,652	\$1,187,394	\$1,363,695	\$1,625,211	<b>Total Regional/Arterial</b>	\$925,878
					\$82,595	National Park Dr./Utah Trl. to 604'	
					\$856,906	west of Mara, overlay	
						Two Mile Rd./Adobe Rd. to Sunrise	
					\$436,638	Rd., overlay and widen	
						Amboy Rd./Adobe Rd. to Utah Trl.,	
						overlay	
					\$10,808	City-wide, traffic study	
					\$219,538	Utah Trl./Joe Davis Dr. to SR-62,	
						overlay	
					\$1,349	City-wide, Congestion Management	
						Program	
					\$17,377	City-wide, pavement rehabilitation	
						and patching	
<b>Local</b>	<b>\$273,111</b>	<b>\$21,889</b>	<b>\$295,000</b>	<b>\$268,997</b>	<b>\$150,242</b>	<b>Total Local</b>	<b>\$413,755</b>
					\$57,923	Homestead Dr./Adobe Rd. to	
						Smoktre Ave., Smoketree Ave. to	
						Manana Dr., Manana Dr., to Adobe	
						Rd., overlay	
					\$7,974	City-wide, traffic study	
					\$7,365**	El Rey/SR-62 to Nicholson	
					\$76,980	Desert Knoll Ave./Beuna Vista Dr. to	
						SR-62, overlay	
<b>E&amp;H Transit</b>	<b>\$45,519</b>	<b>\$1,250</b>	<b>\$46,769</b>	<b>\$34,514</b>	<b>\$37,800</b>	<b>Total E&amp;H Transit</b>	<b>\$43,483</b>
					\$37,800	Morongo Basin Transit Authority	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$910,372</b>	<b>\$618,791</b>	<b>\$1,529,163</b>	<b>\$1,667,206</b>	<b>\$1,813,253</b>	<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<b>\$1,383,116</b>

\* May include reimbursements to the Measure I Fund and other revenue.

\*\* Expenditures not authorized per the City's Measure I Capital Improvement Plan

**MEASURE I LOCAL PASS-THROUGH FUNDS - MOUNTAIN/DESERT  
2006-2007 SUMMARY AUDIT REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2007**

JURISDICTION	MEASURE I REVENUE	INTEREST*	TOTAL REVENUE	PRIOR YEAR FUND BALANCE	EXPENDITURES	PROJECTS	YEAR END FUND BALANCE
<b>VICTORVILLE</b>							
Regional/Arterial	\$5,029,386	\$933,293	\$5,962,679	\$15,472,575	\$5,975,050	<b>Total Regional/Arterial</b>	\$15,460,204
					\$26,388	Air Expressway/National Trails Hwy.	
					\$441,423	to Village Dr., widen and improve Amethyst Rd./Palmdale Rd., construct signal	
					\$2,450,968	Hesperia Rd./Seneca Rd. to D St., reconstruct and widen	
					\$14,656	High Desert Corridor/US-395 to I-15, construct interchange and 4-lane highway, preliminary engineering	
					\$178,410	Innovation Way/Phantom West to 1770' west, construct new 4-lane road	
					\$13,033	National Trails Hwy./Mojave River Bridge to city limit, barrier replacement, truss rehabilitation	
					\$369,380	Nisqualli Rd./I-15 overpass, PS&E	
					\$28,642	Seneca Rd./Hesperia Rd. to 7th St., reconstruct	
					\$44,088	Spring Valley Pkwy./Bear Valley Rd to Pahute, realign	
					\$121	Valley Center Dr./Outer Seventh St., to Lorene Dr., widen and improve, construct turn lanes	
					\$1,497,476	City-wide, traffic control, operations and maintenance	
					\$151,542	Traffic Engineering, studies, signal timing	

**MEASURE I LOCAL PASS-THROUGH FUNDS - MOUNTAIN/DESERT**  
**2006-2007 SUMMARY AUDIT REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2007**

JURISDICTION	MEASURE I REVENUE	INTEREST*	TOTAL REVENUE	PRIOR YEAR FUND BALANCE	EXPENDITURES	PROJECTS	YEAR END FUND BALANCE
<b>VICTORVILLE</b> (Continued)							
Local	\$2,321,255	\$178,845	\$2,500,100	\$3,459,643	\$600,000 \$134,595 \$22,304 \$24 \$1,251,049 \$16,738	Traffic Engineering, slurry, cape seal program Traffic Engineering, video detection retrofit Green Tree Blvd./Burning Tree Dr., construct signal Mojave Dr./I-15 interchange, design <b>Total Local</b>	\$4,708,694
E&H Transit	\$386,876	\$25,182	\$412,058	\$566,094	\$37,414 \$153,538 \$32,920 \$1,010,439 \$501,437 \$501,437	La Paz Dr./Mojave Dr. to Plaza Dr., reconstruct Sycamore St./Cobalt Rd to US-395, rehabilitation, widen and straighten 3rd Ave./Silica to Burwood, construct 2-lane road City-wide traffic counts City-wide, pavement overlay <b>Total E&amp;H Transit</b> VVTA Transit	\$476,715
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$7,737,517</b>	<b>\$1,137,320</b>	<b>\$8,874,837</b>	<b>\$19,498,312</b>	<b>\$7,727,536</b>	<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<b>\$20,645,613</b>

\* May include reimbursements to the Measure I Fund and other revenue.

**MEASURE I LOCAL PASS-THROUGH FUNDS - MOUNTAIN/DESERT  
2006-2007 SUMMARY AUDIT REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2007**

JURISDICTION	MEASURE I REVENUE	INTEREST*	TOTAL REVENUE	PRIOR YEAR** FUND BALANCE	EXPENDITURES	PROJECTS	YEAR END** FUND BALANCE
<b>YUCCA VALLEY</b>							
Regional/Arterial	\$828,647	\$82,385	\$911,032	\$891,133	\$1,425,250	<b>Total Regional/Arterial</b>	\$376,915
					\$4,955	Traffic surveys and warrant studies,	
					\$179,321	speed surveys and traffic studies	
						Yucca Mesa/SR-62 to Buena Vista,	
						reconstruction	
					\$1,214,062	Joshua Lane design reconstruction	
					\$50	SR-62/SR-247 signal, traffic signal	
						design	
					\$1,095	Congestion Management Plan,	
						planning and analysis	
					\$650	SR-62/Sage to Airway, design	
						engineering	
					\$475	Cholla/SR-62 to Median Island	
						Public, design engineering	
					\$417,905	<b>Total Local</b>	\$606,093
Local	\$382,452	\$34,766	\$417,218	\$606,780	\$29,474	Santa Barbara, asphalt overlay	
					\$7,144	Annual traffic census, traffic count	
						analysis	
					\$64,755	Grand/SR-62 to Sunnyslope,	
						asphalt overlay	
					\$50,310	Santa Fe Trail, asphalt overlay	
					\$205,148***	Town-wide slurry seal	
					\$771	Balsa/Hanford to Yucca Trail, flood	
						control/drainage	
					\$592	Pavement Management Plan,	
						planning and analysis	
					\$35,399****	Street light electricity, utilities	
					\$9,266	Desert Gold, west of Emerson, flood	
						control/drainage	



**MEASURE I LOCAL PASS-THROUGH FUNDS - MOUNTAIN/DESERT  
2006-2007 SUMMARY AUDIT REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2007**

JURISDICTION	MEASURE I REVENUE	INTEREST*	TOTAL REVENUE	PRIOR YEAR** FUND BALANCE	EXPENDITURES	PROJECTS	YEAR END** FUND BALANCE
<b>YUCCA VALLEY</b>							
Local (continued)						Joshua Dr., east of Balsa, flood control/drainage	
E&H Transit	\$63,742	\$1,242	\$64,984	\$2,816	\$65,581	<b>Total E&amp;H Transit</b>	\$2,219
					\$65,581	Morongo Basin Transit Authority	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$1,274,841</b>	<b>\$118,393</b>	<b>\$1,393,234</b>	<b>\$1,500,729</b>	<b>\$1,908,736</b>	<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<b>\$985,227</b>

\* May include reimbursements to the Measure I Fund and other revenue.

\*\* Negative balances indicate debt related to Bond Program

\*\*\* Expenditures exceeded the categorical project expenditure limitation of one-half of annual Measure I revenue

\*\*\*\* Expenditures not authorized per the City's Measure I Capital Improvement Plan

**MEASURE I LOCAL PASS-THROUGH FUNDS - MOUNTAIN/DESERT  
2006-2007 SUMMARY AUDIT REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2007**

JURISDICTION	MEASURE I REVENUE	INTEREST*	TOTAL REVENUE	PRIOR YEAR FUND BALANCE	EXPENDITURES	PROJECTS	YEAR END FUND BALANCE
<b>SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY</b>							
Colorado River	\$51,938	\$14,417	\$66,355	\$340,755	\$327,107	<b>Total Regional/Arterial</b>	\$80,003
Regional/Arterial					\$364	Congestion Management Plan, update	
					\$105,297	Cadiz Rd./various locations, SC3000 overlay	
					\$160,355	Havasu Lake Rd./3.10 mi. west, Road Dr./6.0 mi. southwest, chip seal	
					\$61,091	Parker Dam Rd./SR-62 east 1.0 mi, SC3000 overlay	
<b>Total Local</b>	\$23,971	\$2,633	\$26,604	\$41,591	\$40,534	<b>Total Local</b>	\$27,661
					\$40,534	De Soto Dr./Coronado Dr. north/Capistrano Way, overlay	
E&H Transit	\$3,995	\$1,045	\$5,040	\$17,443	\$4,000	<b>Total E&amp;H Transit</b>	\$18,483
					\$4,000	Big River Transit	
<b>TOTAL</b>	\$79,904	\$18,095	\$97,999	\$399,789	\$371,641	<b>Total Expenditures</b>	\$126,147

\* May include reimbursements to the Measure I Fund and other revenue.

**MEASURE I LOCAL PASS-THROUGH FUNDS - MOUNTAIN/DESERT  
2006-2007 SUMMARY AUDIT REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2007**

JURISDICTION	MEASURE I REVENUE	INTEREST*	TOTAL REVENUE	PRIOR YEAR FUND BALANCE	EXPENDITURES	PROJECTS	YEAR END FUND BALANCE
<b>SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY</b>							
Morongo Basin	\$475,023	\$255,206	\$730,229	\$1,554,315	\$995,467	<b>Total Regional/Arterial</b>	\$1,289,077
Regional/Arterial					\$1,246	Congestion Management	
					\$20,364	Plan/Morongo Basin arterials, update	
					\$408,936	Reche Rd./SH247, build turn lane	
						Amboy Rd./Goodwin Rd.	
					\$192	east/Hollywood Ln., SC-3000 overlay	
						Amboy Rd./1.02 mi. east, Ironage Rd.,	
						east 11.93 mi. chip seal	
					\$3,085	Amboy Rd./1.02 mi. east, Ironage Rd.,	
						east 11.93 mi. overlay AR4000	
					\$141,178	Alta Loma Dr./0.04 mi. west, Sunnyhill	
						Rd. east/.03 mi. east, Outpost Rd.,	
						waterline relocation	
					\$15,984	La Contenta Rd./Yucca Trail north/SR-	
						62, widen, turn pocket	
					\$183,160	Lear Ave./Indian Trail north/Poleline	
						Rd., overlay	
					\$221,322	Alta Loma Dr./0.04 mi. west, Sunnyhill	
						Rd. east/.03 mi. east, Outpost Rd.,	
						waterline relocation	
Local	\$219,242	\$32,640	\$251,882	\$724,062	\$756,368	<b>Total Local</b>	\$219,576
					\$588,147	Sunnyhill Rd./Alta Loma Dr. north .23	
						mi, pave dirt portion	
					\$168,221	Melton R. and others/Joshua Tree	
						area, overlay	
E&H Transit	\$36,540	\$3,795	\$40,335	\$85,573	\$36,800	<b>Total E&amp;H Transit</b>	\$89,108
					\$36,800	Morongo Basin Transit Authority	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$730,805</b>	<b>\$291,641</b>	<b>\$1,022,446</b>	<b>\$2,363,950</b>	<b>\$1,788,635</b>	<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<b>\$1,597,761</b>

\* May include reimbursements to the Measure I Fund and other revenue.

**MEASURE I LOCAL PASS-THROUGH FUNDS - MOUNTAIN/DESERT  
2006-2007 SUMMARY AUDIT REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2007**

JURISDICTION	MEASURE I REVENUE	INTEREST*	TOTAL REVENUE	PRIOR YEAR FUND BALANCE	EXPENDITURES	PROJECTS	YEAR END FUND BALANCE
<b>SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY</b>							
Mountains							
Regional/Arterial	\$1,124,324	\$216,983	\$1,341,307	\$4,709,052	\$2,664,555	<b>Total Regional/Arterial</b>	\$3,385,804
					\$410,631	All View Dr. and others, Running Springs area, overlay	
					\$5,354	Arrowbear Dr./Spillway, guardrail, widening	
					\$2,556	Congestion Management Program, update	
					\$8,133	Cottage Grove Rd./655 Cottage Grove Rd., retaining wall repair	
					\$6,198	Crestline Cutoff/Crest Forest east/SR-138, overlay	
					\$376	Daley Canyon Rd./SR-18, improve intersection safety	
					\$139,399	Grandview Rd., Lake Arrowhead area, overlay	
					\$198,095	Holcomb Creek Dr. and others, Green Valley, overlay	
					\$11,689	Kuffel Canyon Rd./Arrowhead Villa Rd., retaining wall repair	
					\$288,290	Lake Dr./SR-138 east/Lake Gregory Dr., rehabilitation	
					\$18,993	Lake Gregory Dr./Lake Dr. southeast and south/SR-189, retaining wall repair	
					\$1,000,000	Lake Gregory walkway, walkway construction	
					\$178,526	Maple Ln./Barton Ln./Baldwin Ln., rehabilitation	

MEASURE I LOCAL PASS-THROUGH FUNDS - MOUNTAIN/DESERT  
2006-2007 SUMMARY AUDIT REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2007

JURISDICTION	MEASURE I REVENUE	INTEREST*	TOTAL REVENUE	PRIOR YEAR FUND BALANCE	EXPENDITURES	PROJECTS	YEAR END FUND BALANCE
<b>SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY</b>							
Mountains, Continued							
Local	\$518,919	\$32,824	\$551,743	\$1,058,967	\$18,264	Paradise Way/SR-38, construct signal, improve intersection	\$344,925
					\$66,335	San Moritz Dr. and others, Crestline area, overlay	
					\$133,948	San Moritz Wy./San Moritz Dr. to Lake Dr., overlay	
					\$177,768	Waters Dr./various locations, overlay	
					\$1,265,785	<b>Total Local</b>	
					\$389,184	Bowles Blvd./Aeroplane Blvd. northeast/Valley Blvd., pave	
					\$8,103**	Butte Ave. and others, Moonridge area, overlay	
					\$217,523**	Darfo Dr. and others, Crestline area, overlay	
					\$5,879**	Fairway Blvd. and others, Big Bear City and others, overlay	
					\$2,573**	Lake Dr. and others, Angeles Oaks area, overlay	
E&H Transit	\$86,486	\$2,827	\$89,313	\$62,760	\$210,496**	Mountain Ln. and others Moonridge area, overlay	\$79,001
					\$392,901**	Sheandoah Dr. and others, Lake Arrowhead area, rehabilitation, overlay	
					\$7,463**	Wolf Rd. and others, Moonridge area, overlay	
					\$31,663**	Wolf Rd. and others, Moonridge area, chip seal	
					\$73,072	<b>Total E&amp;H Transit</b>	
					\$73,072		
					\$4,003,412	<b>Total Expenditures</b>	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$1,729,729</b>	<b>\$252,634</b>	<b>\$1,982,363</b>	<b>\$5,830,779</b>			<b>\$3,809,730</b>

\* May include reimbursements to the Measure I Fund and other revenue.

\*\* Expenditures exceeded the categorical project expenditure limitation of one-half of annual Measure I revenue

MEASURE I LOCAL PASS-THROUGH FUNDS - MOUNTAIN/DESERT  
2006-2007 SUMMARY AUDIT REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2007

JURISDICTION	MEASURE I REVENUE	INTEREST*	TOTAL REVENUE	PRIOR YEAR FUND BALANCE	EXPENDITURES	PROJECTS	YEAR END FUND BALANCE
<b>SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY</b>							
North Desert							
Regional/Arterial	\$885,613	\$74,368	\$959,981	\$2,036,105	\$1,896,783	<b>Total Regional/Arterial</b>	\$1,099,303
					\$5,695	Community Blvd./Lenwood Ave.	
					\$1,917	east and north/SR-58, rehabilitation	
						Congestion Management Plan/North	
					\$504,025	Desert Arterials, update	
						Daffet-Yermo Rd./National Trails	
					\$825,055	Highway north/Yermo Rd., overlay	
						National Trails Highway/Crucero Rd/	
						east/rehabilitation	
					\$560,091	Newberry Rd./0.58 mi. south,	
						National Trails Hwy north/Valley	
						Center Rd., overlay	
Local	\$408,744	\$210,534	\$619,278	\$735,312	\$752,220	<b>Total Local</b>	\$602,370
					\$3,252	Frampton Rd. and others/Daggett	
						area, overlay SC3000 OPS	
					\$207,706	Newberry Rd./0.58 mi. south,	
						National Trails Hwy north/Valley	
						Center Rd., overlay	
					\$103,315	Newberry Rd./0.58 mi. south,	
						National Trails Hwy north/Valley	
						Center Rd., overlay	
					\$430,537	Newberry Rd./Valley Center Rd.	
						north/Riverside Rd., rehabilitation	
					\$4,227	Santa Fe St. and others/Daggett	
						area, overlay SC 3000	
					\$3,183	South St. and others/Daggett area,	
						overlay SC3000	
E&H Transit	\$68,124	\$13,929	\$82,053	\$230,351	\$80,000	<b>Total E&amp;H Transit</b>	\$232,404

MEASURE I LOCAL PASS-THROUGH FUNDS - MOUNTAIN/DESERT  
2006-2007 SUMMARY AUDIT REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2007

JURISDICTION	MEASURE I REVENUE	INTEREST*	TOTAL REVENUE	PRIOR YEAR FUND BALANCE	EXPENDITURES	PROJECTS	YEAR END FUND BALANCE
<b>SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY</b>							
North Desert, Continued						Barstow Dial-a-Ride/Barstow area, transit	
					\$75,000	Transportation Reimbursement	
					\$5,000	Escort Program/Red Mountain area, pilot program	
<b>TOTAL</b>	\$1,362,481	\$298,831	\$1,661,312	\$3,001,768	\$2,729,003	<b>Total Expenditures</b>	\$1,934,077

\* May include reimbursements to the Measure I Fund and other revenue.

\*\* Expenditures exceeded the categorical project expenditure limitation of one-half of annual Measure I revenue

**MEASURE I LOCAL PASS-THROUGH FUNDS - MOUNTAIN/DESERT  
2006-2007 SUMMARY AUDIT REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2007**

JURISDICTION	MEASURE I REVENUE	INTEREST*	TOTAL REVENUE	PRIOR YEAR FUND BALANCE	EXPENDITURES	PROJECTS	YEAR END FUND BALANCE
<b>SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY</b>							
Victor Valley							
Regional/Arterial	\$1,364,240	\$258,984	\$1,623,224	\$2,972,606	\$2,087,005	<b>Total Regional/Arterial</b>	\$2,508,825
					\$97,677	Bekley Rd./SR-138 north/Phelan Rd., drainage improvements	
					\$8,181	Congestion Management Program/Victor Valley area, update	
					\$313,674	Dos Palmas Rd./Victorville center line/San Mateo, overlay	
					\$16,307	Kiowa Rd./Van Dusen Rd. north/Tussing Ranch Rd., rehabilitation	
					\$458,612	Nielson Rd./Beekey Rd. east/Malapaso Rd., pave dirt road	
					\$37,559	Summit Valley Rd./SR-138 north/Hesperia city limits, chip seal	
					\$1,154,995	Wright Mountain Rd./Zernatt Dr. north/SR-2, pave new road	
					\$95,598	<b>Total Local</b>	\$1,309,637
Local	\$629,642	\$52,312	\$681,954	\$723,281	\$2,046	Butte St. and others/Lucerne Valley area, AC overlay (OPS)	
					\$56,902	Carmelien Rd. and others, Lucerne Valley area, AC overlay (OPS)	
					\$3,705	Harbor Dr./Lakeview Dr. northwesterly to end, overlay	
					\$338	Phelan Rd./various locations, drainage improvements	



MEASURE I LOCAL PASS-THROUGH FUNDS - MOUNTAIN/DESERT  
2006-2007 SUMMARY AUDIT REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2007

JURISDICTION	MEASURE I REVENUE	INTEREST*	TOTAL REVENUE	PRIOR YEAR FUND BALANCE	EXPENDITURES	PROJECTS	YEAR END FUND BALANCE
<b>SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY</b>							
Victor Valley (Continued)							
					\$3,709	Rain Shadow Rd./Sunburst Rd. north/.07 mi north/Lynwood Way, rehabilitation	
					\$11,280	Rivera Dr. and others/Lucerne Valley area, AC overlay (OPS)	
					\$8,556	Sapphire Rd. and others/Lucerne Valley area, AC overlay (OPS)	
					\$9,062	Trade Post Rd. and others/Lucerne Valley area, AC overlay (OPS)	
E&H Transit	\$104,941	\$11,640	\$116,581	\$208,763	\$81,757	<b>Total E&amp;H Transit</b>	\$243,587
					\$76,757	Victor Valley Transit Authority/ Dial-A- Ride, Victor Valley area, transit	
					\$5,000	Transportation Reimbursement Escort Program/Lucerne Valley area, pilot program	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$2,098,823</b>	<b>\$322,936</b>	<b>\$2,421,759</b>	<b>\$3,904,650</b>	<b>\$2,264,360</b>	<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<b>\$4,062,049</b>

\* Includes payments to State Capital Grants and Taxable Sales to Public

- San Bernardino County Transportation Commission ■ San Bernardino County Transportation Authority  
■ San Bernardino County Congestion Management Agency ■ Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies

## *Minute Action*

AGENDA ITEM: 6

**Date:** September 19, 2008

**Subject:** United States (US) 395 Interim Widening Project Update

**Recommendation:**\* Receive Report and Provide Direction.

**Background:** Last fall, Caltrans provided a status to the Mountain/Desert Committee on the re-alignment of US 395. It was requested that Caltrans present to the Committee an updated on the widening of the existing US 395. Caltrans is proceeding with this project and anticipates distributing the Environmental Report in May 2009. Please refer to the attached Caltrans Project Fact Sheet dated July 8, 2008 (Attachment #1) and SANBAG Project Information Sheet with updated information from Caltrans on September 11, 2008 (Attachment #2). Caltrans staff will be present at the Mountain/Desert Committee meeting to provide a project overview, an update on current activities and challenges, and next steps in the project.

**Financial Impact:** Funds for these activities are included in the Fiscal Year 2007/2008 Budget, Task Number 88008000, funded by Measure I Valley Major Projects Funds.

**Reviewed By:** This item is scheduled to be reviewed by the Mountain/Desert Committee at its September 19, 2008 meeting.

**Responsible Staff:** Ellen Pollema, Transportation Planning Specialist

\*

*Approved*  
*Mountain Desert Committee*

*Date:*

*Moved:* *Second:*

*In Favor:* *Opposed:* *Abstained:*

*Witnessed:* \_\_\_\_\_



# DISTRICT 8 PROJECT FACT SHEET

July 8, 2008

EA: **0F630**

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION & SCOPE

The project will widen US-395 to 4 lanes, construct a left-turn channelization and standard shoulders. This project will widen or replace the structure over the California Aqueduct.

## NEED & PURPOSE OF PROJECT

This portion of US-395 lies within the Cities of Adelanto, Hesperia and Victorville. It is the main north-south arterial for these cities and also services a significant volume of interregional truck traffic. Decreasing operational efficiency requires that this route be widened. Portions of US-395 have already been widened through this area as a condition of development along the route. This project will fill in the gaps. The project will improve the operational efficiency and safety.

## COMMENTS & ISSUES

**Environmental:** A Negative Declaration/Finding of No Significant Impact (ND/FONSI) is anticipated.

### Design:

**Right of Way:** Numerous utilities will require relocation.

**Construction/Traffic:** Traffic control and staging are significant issues and will be addressed during the design phase.

### Miscellaneous:

## STAKEHOLDERS

California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), California Highway Patrol (CHP), County of San Bernardino, Cities of Adelanto, Hesperia, and Victorville.  
Lead Agency: Caltrans

## CONTACT

John Ashton, Project Manager - 909-383-4069

## SBD - 395 - PM 3.98 / 19.3

IN HESPERIA, VICTORVILLE &  
ADELANTO FR 0.26 KM N/O I-15/US-395  
SEP TO 1.75 KM N/O BELLA VISTA  
DRIVE

WIDEN HWY TO 4-LANES W/TWLT &  
WIDEN SHLDRS ON EXISTING  
ALIGNMENT

### Programming

PriList

025.700

/ HE13

### Cooperative Agreements

SANBAG-\$1,400,000, Cities of Victorville, Adelanto & Hesperia-\$600,000 for PA&ED., CT-PA&ED, PSE, AAA. Draft Contribution Agr 08-1250 SANBAG to fund \$2,000,000 of support costs for PA/ED 7/9/04. Separate Design & Const Agrs to be done. sh Dist Contribution Coop Agr 08-1250 Exec 5/4/05 MMA

## SCHEDULE

PA&ED	11/13/09
PS&E	6/15/12
R/W Cert	7/26/12
RTL	8/17/12
Beg Const	12/14/12
End Const	12/01/14

## COST

STATE	(Funding FY: ) Programmed	Current Estimate
Support		\$19,300,000
Bridge Const		\$2,196,000
Rdwy Const		\$74,356,000
<b>Total Const</b>		<b>\$76,552,000</b>
R/W		\$5,210,000
<b>Total State</b>		<b>\$101,062,000</b>
LOCAL	Programmed	Current Estimate
Support	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000
Rdwy Const		\$0
R/W		\$0
<b>Total Local</b>	<b>\$2,000,000</b>	<b>\$2,000,000</b>
<b>Total Project</b>	<b>\$2,000,000</b>	<b>\$103,062,000</b>

**Status: Active****Phase: Planning****Location: Hesperia, Victorville, Adelanto, SB County****Type: Interim Widening****Contract No.: N/A****US-395 (Existing)****Map of Project:****Project Scope Summary:**

The project will widen US-395 to 4 lanes, construct a left-turn channelization and standard shoulders. This project will widen or replace the structure over the California Aqueduct.

This portion of US-395 lies within the Cities of Adelanto, Hesperia, and Victorville.

**Project Status and Continuing Activities:**

**CALTRANS email 9/11/08:** "Environmental is preparing a Draft IS/EA which is on target to be circulated on 5/15/09."

Through SCAG's recent 2008 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) Amendment, this locally sponsored Interim Widening project will appear in the financially constrained portion of the RTP and through SCAG's 2008 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) "consistency" Amendment this project will also appear in the RTIP with FHWA/FTA approval of both the RTP and RTIP Amendments in early 2009. This action satisfies two of FHWA's requirements for a NEPA compliance.

The current schedule shows Project Report and Environmental Document completion by November 2009 and PS&E completion by August 2012. This project is expected to go to construction in late 2012 with construction completion/open to traffic by December 2014."

**Schedule Summary**

Milestone	Actual [ Forecast ]
Start of Project	
Study Report Approved	
PA/ED Approved	(5/15/09)
Design Approved - ROW Certified	(7/26/12)
Construction Notice to Proceed	(12/14/12)
Complete for Beneficial Use	
Project Complete – Contract Close	(12/14/14)

**Budgetary Estimate Summary  
(\$ 000's)**

Cost Item	Amount
Study Report	
Project Report	
Final Design	
ROW Certification	
Construction	
Total	

**Funding Summary  
(\$ 000's)**

Funding Source	Amount
SEE ATTACHED CALTRANS FACT SHEET EA:0F630.	
Total	

**Lead Agency:**  
**Project Manager:**

**CALTRANS**  
**John Ashton (909) 383-4069**

**SANBAG Contact:**

**Ellen Pollema**  
**Transportation Planning Specialist**

**Telephone:**

**(909) 884-8276 X160**

**Email Address:**

**epollema@sanbag.ca.gov**

- San Bernardino County Transportation Commission ■ San Bernardino County Transportation Authority  
■ San Bernardino County Congestion Management Agency ■ Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies

## *Minute Action*

AGENDA ITEM: 7

**Date:** September 19, 2008

**Subject:** State Route (SR) 62 Improvements

**Recommendation:**\* Approve transmission of letters to Karla Sutliff, Director, Caltrans District 8 and Anne Mayer, Executive Director, Riverside County Transportation Commission, regarding prioritization of improvements along SR 62 in San Bernardino and Riverside Counties.

**Background:** State Route 62 is the major roadway into the Morongo Basin, connecting the communities of Morongo Valley, Yucca, Valley, Joshua Tree, and Twentynine Palms with Interstate 10 to the South. It is also the major access route into the Twentynine Palms Marine Base and Joshua Tree National Park. Approximately six miles of SR 62 is in Riverside County between Interstate 10 and the Morongo Valley. The remainder of SR 62 from Morongo Valley to the City of Twentynine Palms (and beyond) is in San Bernardino County.

The following are Caltrans' existing road repair projects for this area:

1. SR 62 – pavement rehabilitation from the junction of I-10 to Indian Avenue (Riverside County).
2. SR 62 - 45 mm overlay with Type G AC from the junction of I-10 to Indian Avenue (Riverside County).
3. SR 62 - .10' AC overlay from the junction of I-10 to Indian Avenue (Riverside County).
4. SR62 – two mile roadway widening between El Camino and Sunrise (City of Twentynine Palms).

*Approved  
Mountain/Desert Committee*

*Date:* \_\_\_\_\_

*Moved:* \_\_\_\_\_ *Second:* \_\_\_\_\_

*In Favor:* \_\_\_\_\_ *Opposed:* \_\_\_\_\_ *Abstained:* \_\_\_\_\_

*Witnessed:* \_\_\_\_\_

MDC0809a-MMK.doc  
Attachment:  
MDC0809a1-MMK.doc  
MDC0809a2-MMK.doc

Regarding the Riverside County projects 1-2, Caltrans has informed SANBAG that they have been deemed inactive due to a lack of funding. Project 3 identified above has yet to be programmed by Caltrans. Regarding the project in the City of Twentynine Palms, Caltrans informed the City that they had programmed and received authorization to fund the widening and installation of a continuous turn-lane within this segment of the highway. However, in January of 2006 Caltrans informed the City that they had insufficient funds to complete the project and it would have to be divided into two separate phases. Construction on phase one has not yet begun.

The cities of Yucca Valley and Twentynine Palms, along with San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors Brad Mitzelfelt and Dennis Hansberger, have requested that SANBAG prepare letters for their signature to Karla Sutliff, Director, Caltrans District 8 and to Anne Mayer, Executive Director, Riverside County Transportation Commission. The purpose of these letters is to request information regarding SR 62 projects in both Riverside and San Bernardino Counties and communicate to SANBAG as to when these projects can be implemented (please refer to the attached letters).

- Financial Impact:*** This item has no direct impact to the FY 2008/2009 SANBAG Budget. Activities to assist Mountain/Desert jurisdictions on transportation projects is included in Task 50509000, funding source Measure I Administration – Mt./Desert.
- Reviewed By:*** This item is scheduled for review by the Mountain/Desert Committee at its September 19, 2008 meeting.
- Responsible Staff:*** Michelle Kirkhoff, Director, Air Quality and Mobility Programs  
Ellen Pollema, Transportation Planning Specialist



## San Bernardino Associated Governments

1170 W. 3rd Street, 2nd Fl, San Bernardino, CA 92410

Phone: (909) 884-8276

Fax: (909) 885-4407

Web: [www.sanbag.ca.gov](http://www.sanbag.ca.gov)



- 
- San Bernardino County Transportation Commission •San Bernardino County Transportation Authority
  - San Bernardino County Congestion Management Agency •Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies
- 

August 29, 2008

Ms. Karla Sutliff, Director  
Caltrans District 8  
464 West 4<sup>TH</sup> Street  
San Bernardino, CA 92401

Dear Ms. Sutliff:

The purpose of this letter is to convey our concerns about the condition of State Route (SR) 62 both in Riverside County, from the junction of I-10 and north into the Morongo Basin, and in San Bernardino County, through the City of Twentynine Palms.

First, regarding the Riverside County portion of SR 62, the condition of the pavement and shape of the road bed is in much need of repair. This six mile stretch of highway is a critical link in bringing commuters and residents into the Morongo Basin.

In discussions with various Caltrans personnel over the past few weeks, we were informed that two projects to fully repair the roadbed have been deemed inactive due to a lack of funding: (1) Riverside SR 62 - Pavement Rehabilitation from the junction of I-10 to Indian Avenue (PSSR completed in 8/30/05, Construction cost of \$14,552,000) and (2) Riverside SR 62 - 45 mm overlay with Type G AC from the junction of I-10 to Indian Avenue (PSSR is on hold, Construction cost of \$2,858,000).

We also learned about a third minor project that would repair SR-62 for four miles, beginning at its junction with I-10. The repair would provide an 0.10' AC overlay, for a total cost of \$900,000. This project has yet to be programmed. While we appreciate any effort to improve this roadbed by any means necessary, we believe this minor project is only a "quick fix" and will not resolve the underlying problems nor will it last for any length of time.

We have also contacted the Riverside County Transportation Commission and, through them the County of Riverside, regarding the needs in this area. It appears the responsibility for operation and maintenance on SR 62 lies primarily with Caltrans.

Second, regarding the San Bernardino County portion through the City of Twentynine Palms, for nearly 15 years the City Council has asked Caltrans to widen SR 62 between the local roads of El Camino and Sunrise, a stretch of less than two miles. This portion

of the highway has line of sight issues due to elevation changes, along with safety concerns due to the lack of a turning lane.

Caltrans in the past has informed the City that they had programmed and received authorization to fund the widening project and to install a continuous turn-lane in this segment. However, in January 2006 Caltrans announced to the City that they had insufficient funds to complete the project along the entire segment and would need to divide the project into two separate phases. No construction has been initiated on either phase of this project as of this date.

We therefore request that Caltrans evaluate SR-62 in both counties and communicate to us as to when it may be repaired. Please contact David Prusch with Supervisor Hansberger's office at 909.387.4855 should you have any further questions or need to coordinate a meeting to discuss the options.

In addition, by copy of this letter, we are notifying SANBAG of our concerns and hope that we can work together through their established procedures to prioritize these highway projects.

We thank you for your time and consideration and look forward to speaking with you soon about these very important projects.

Sincerely,

---

Brad Mitzelfelt, Chairman  
Mountain/Desert Committee

---

Dennis Hansberger, Supervisor  
San Bernardino Third District

---

Jim Harris, Council Member  
City of Twentynine Palms

---

Mayor Robert "Bob" Leone  
Town of Yucca Valley

c: Marion Ashley, Supervisor, Fifth District, Riverside County  
Deborah Barmack, Executive Director, San Bernardino Associated Governments  
Anne Mayer, Executive Director, Riverside County Transportation Commission  
Yvonne Parks, Mayor, City of Desert Hot Springs  
SANBAG - Mountain/Desert Committee Members

MDC0809a-MMK  
Attachment:  
MDC0809a1-MMK  
50509000

Page | 2





## San Bernardino Associated Governments

1170 W. 3rd Street, 2nd Fl, San Bernardino, CA 92410

Phone: (909) 884-8276

Fax: (909) 885-4407

Web: [www.sanbag.ca.gov](http://www.sanbag.ca.gov)



- 
- San Bernardino County Transportation Commission •San Bernardino County Transportation Authority
  - San Bernardino County Congestion Management Agency •Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies
- 

August 29, 2008

Ms. Anne Mayer, Executive Director  
Riverside County Transportation Commission  
Post Office Box 12008  
Riverside, CA 92502-2208

Dear Ms. Mayer:

The purpose of this letter is to convey our concerns about the condition of State Route (SR) 62 in Riverside County, from the junction of I-10 and north to the San Bernardino County line. This six mile stretch of SR 62 is a critical link in bringing commuters and residents into the Morongo Basin and the condition of the pavement and shape of the road bed is in much need of repair.

In discussions with various Caltrans personnel over the past few weeks, we were informed that two projects to fully repair the roadbed have been deemed inactive due to a lack of funding: (1) Riverside SR 62 - Pavement Rehabilitation from the junction of I-10 to Indian Avenue (PSSR completed in 8/30/05, Construction cost of \$14,552,000) and (2) Riverside SR 62 - 45 mm overlay with Type G AC from the junction of I-10 to Indian Avenue (PSSR is on hold, Construction cost of \$2,858,000).

We also learned about a third minor project that would repair SR-62 for four miles, beginning at its junction with I-10. The repair would provide a 0.10' AC overlay, for a total cost of \$900,000. This project has yet to be programmed. While we appreciate any effort to improve this roadbed by any means necessary, we believe this minor project is only a "quick fix" and will not resolve the underlying problems nor will it last for any length of time.

We therefore request that the Riverside County Transportation Commission evaluate SR-62 and communicate to us the status of any proposed projects to repair the roadway. Please contact David Prusch with Supervisor Hansberger's office at 909.387.4855 should you have any further questions or need to coordinate a meeting to discuss the options.

We thank you for your time and consideration and look forward to speaking with you soon about these very important projects.

Sincerely,

---

Brad Mitzelfelt, Chairman  
Mountain/Desert Committee

---

Dennis Hansberger, Supervisor  
San Bernardino Third District

---

Jim Harris, Council Member  
City of Twentynine Palms

---

Mayor Robert "Bob" Leone  
Town of Yucca Valley

cc: Marion Ashley, Supervisor, Fifth District, Riverside County  
Deborah Barnack, Executive Director, San Bernardino Associated Governments  
Ms. Karla Sutliff, Director, Caltrans District 8  
Yvonne Parks, Mayor, City of Desert Hot Springs  
SANBAG - Mountain/Desert Committee Members

- San Bernardino County Transportation Commission ■ San Bernardino County Transportation Authority  
■ San Bernardino County Congestion Management Agency ■ Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies

## *Minute Action*

AGENDA ITEM: 8

**Date:** September 19, 2008

**Subject:** SANBAG's Statewide Transit Planning Studies Grant – Victor Valley Long Distance Commuter Needs Assessment Study

**Recommendation:\***

1. Approve and release the Request for Proposal RFP 09082 to conduct the Victor Valley Long Distance Commuter Needs Assessment Study.
2. Approve Amendment to Budget Task Local Transportation Planning Fund 50209000, replacing \$72,000 in LTF Planning with \$72,000 from the Statewide Transit Planning Studies Grant.

**Background:** In January 2008, SANBAG applied for a FTA Section 5304 Community Based Transportation Planning Grant to conduct a Victor Valley Long Distance Commuter Needs Assessment Study. Yearly, SANBAG conducts the Transportation Development Act Unmet Needs Transit Hearings, and consistently receives community comments in reference to providing commuter type services from the Victor Valley area into San Bernardino Valley area. In 2007, a petition signed by 277 Victor Valley residents requesting commuter service was received. Due to continued requests, SANBAG applied for the needs assessment study to fund an in-depth study of transportation needs and employment patterns of Victor Valley residents. The study will identify and measure the demand for commuter services and identify options in making the best use of transportation funding.

\*

*Approved*  
*Mountain/Desert Committee*

*Date:* \_\_\_\_\_

*Moved:*

*Second:*

*In Favor:*

*Opposed:*

*Abstained:*

*Witnessed:* \_\_\_\_\_

## Request for Proposal 09-082

### San Bernardino County's Victor Valley Long-Distance Commuter Needs Assessment Study

#### Scope of Work

**Pre task – Identify, invite and convene Advisory Committee/Steering Committee to assist with consultant selection and project start-up.**

SANBAG staff will invite key members of the PASTACC and of the Victor Valley Transit Authority Technical Advisory Committee, and any other key stakeholders, to participate in an Advisory/Steering Committee over the 8-month project duration. The Committee will meet a minimum of 3 times and be invited to participate in the community forums held during the later phase of the study.

#### **EXISTING AND PROJECTED COMMUTER DEMAND LEVELS**

##### **Task 1 – Document current situation**

- **Task 1.1** Collect available demographic information about the Victor Valley residents of Victorville, Hesperia, Apple Valley and Adelanto and adjacent unincorporated counties. Document and graphically present critical 2000 census characteristics and available California Dept. of Finance and other updates related to population, housing, housing starts and commute information.
- **Task 1.2** Identify, collect and graphically presently available travel information along I-15 through the Cajon Pass.
- **Task 1.3** Prepare a summary of the commuter service experience of VVTA's pilot program ending in June 2005, documenting the number and start-times of vehicle trips, ridership patterns (by day, week and month) and any other relevant information to fully describe the commuter services that were provided.

##### **Task 2 – Collect and document projected commute growth patterns.**

- **Task 2.1** Compile and present projected information on population, housing and travel, presenting this analytically and graphically.
- **Task 2.2** Develop an estimate of demand for weekday, commute travel from the Victor Valley into the San Bernardino Valley and beyond.

<b>Task 1 &amp; 2 Deliverable</b>	<b>Documentation</b>
Key census variables mapped and described	Technical Memorandum #1 – Demographics and Projected Demand
Other key housing and travel information mapped and described	
Document VVTA's commuter pilot program operating characteristics and ridership patterns.	
Commuter projections prepared.	
Steering Committee meeting (optional, could be combined with review of Tech Memo #2)	

## ANALYSIS AND EDUCATION

### Task 4 – Develop and analyze commute alternatives

- **Task 4.1** Pulling together findings from the preceding tasks, identify all reasonably possible responses to commuter needs between the Victor Valley and the San Bernardino Valley/ Los Angeles Basin. Develop a methodology for analyzing the benefits and responsiveness of proposed alternatives to the needs of Victor Valley residents identified.
- **Task 4.2** Identify the costs of potential alternatives and construct a methodology for undertaking a cost-benefit analysis of each.
- **Task 4.3** Identify potential funding sources for commuter transportation services and make an assessment of the reasonableness of obtaining such funds.

### Task 5 – Undertake local outreach process to obtain feedback and prioritize and/or develop consensus on alternatives

- **Task 5.1** Conduct between two and three community forums at selected locations in the Victor Valley during evening timeframes when prospective commuters are available. Utilize these forums to describe potential commute alternatives and to explore local stakeholder responses. Ensure a broad-based invitation to these forums by including interviewees, providing notice through the local media and posting information on a previously advertised website to advise interested individuals of the forum times and locations.
- **Task 5.2** Document the results of these forums.

Task 4 & 5 Deliverables	Documentation
Prepare a report of alternatives, cost, benefits and potential funding sources.	Technical Memorandum #3 – Alternatives Analysis
Conduct and document findings from 2 to 3 community forums to invite stakeholder comment upon alternatives.	

## RECOMMENDATIONS AND FINAL REPORT

### Task 6 – Identify preferred alternatives and implementation steps

- **Task 6.1** Develop the preferred alternatives, combining information from the public forums with the technical analyses to arrive at those alternatives that are feasible, including cost-effective, fundable and reasonable to implement and that promise the greatest likelihood for success. It may be that there is a phasing to recommended alternatives with some planned for early years, given certain commuter trip volumes and some planned for subsequent years when presumably those numbers increase.

## **Proposal Evaluation and Selection**

A review panel made up of representatives from Victor Valley Transit Authority Technical Advisory Committee, members of PASTACC, and SANBAG staff will evaluate the proposals and determine the necessity for oral interviews. At the oral interviews, proposers will be requested to make a formal presentation. The panel/staff will recommend one consultant from those interviewed. SANBAG reserves the right to select a consultant based solely on the written proposal and not convene oral interviews.

The evaluation criteria that will be used in the selection process are as follows:

- 20% Understanding the purpose and requirements
- 25% Approach to be followed and the tasks to be performed, including detailed steps and resources required and proposed project schedule
- 25% Relative allocation of resources, in terms of quality and quantity, to key tasks, including the time and skills of personnel assigned to the task and consultant's approach to managing resources and project output
- 15% Experience of the firm in public and specialized transit operations
- 15% Education and specific experience of the project team to be assigned

### **Proposal content and organization**

Proposals should be limited to specific discussion of the elements outlined in this RFP. The intent of the RFP is to encourage responses which meet the stated requirements and which propose the best methods to accomplish the work within the stated budget. SANBAG has budgeted \$90,000 for the design study.

#### **1. Transmittal letter**

The transmittal letter should include the name, title; address and phone number and original signature of an individual with authority to negotiate on behalf of and to contractually bind the proposer and who may be contacted during the period of proposal evaluation. One transmittal letter need be prepared to accompany all sets of copies of technical and cost proposal.

#### **2. Table of Contents**

A listing of the major section in the proposal and associated page numbers

#### **3. Introduction**

In this section the proposer should demonstrate an adequate understanding of the design study, local conditions, roles and responsibilities as well as working knowledge of California Government Code, Transportation Development Act, Federal Transportation grant programs and their requirements.

## **8. Cost Proposal**

In addition to a technical proposal, the prospective contractor shall prepare a detailed cost proposal for the work to be performed. The cost proposal shall itemize all items that will be charged to SANBAG, including travel charges that will be involved in the project and included in the bid amount. Costs shall be segregated to show staff hours, rates and classifications and administrative overhead. If subcontractors are to be used, the prospective contractor must indicate any markup that the prospective contractor plans to take on subcontractors. The same breakdown of subcontract costs shall be provided as is required for contractor costs above.

Failure to provide detailed cost breakdowns will be cause for rejection of proposal.

## **9. Payment schedule**

The consultant will be paid based on work actually performed during the preceding month. The consultant should forward a copy of all invoices for payment for work performed and associated expenses by the 15<sup>th</sup> day of the following month. Each invoice shall be accompanied with a brief description of work performed and identify any problems incurred.

AB	Assembly Bill
ACE	Alameda Corridor East
ACT	Association for Commuter Transportation
ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act
APTA	American Public Transportation Association
AQMP	Air Quality Management Plan
ATMIS	Advanced Transportation Management Information Systems
BAT	Barstow Area Transit
CAC	Call Answering Center
CALACT	California Association for Coordination Transportation
CALCOG	California Association of Councils of Governments
CALSAFE	California Committee for Service Authorities for Freeway Emergencies
CALTRANS	California Department of Transportation
CARB	California Air Resources Board
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CHP	California Highway Patrol
CMAQ	Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality
CMP	Congestion Management Program
CNG	Compressed Natural Gas
COG	Council of Governments
CSAC	California State Association of Counties
CTA	California Transit Association
CTAA	Community Transportation Association of America
CTC	California Transportation Commission
CTC	County Transportation Commission
CTP	Comprehensive Transportation Plan
DMO	Data Management Office
DOT	Department of Transportation
E&H	Elderly and Handicapped
EIR	Environmental Impact Report
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
ETC	Employee Transportation Coordinator
FEIS	Final Environmental Impact Statement
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FSP	Freeway Service Patrol
FTA	Federal Transit Administration
FTIP	Federal Transportation Improvement Program
GFOA	Government Finance Officers Association
GIS	Geographic Information Systems
HOV	High-Occupancy Vehicle
ICMA	International City/County Management Association
ICTC	Interstate Clean Transportation Corridor
IEEP	Inland Empire Economic Partnership
ISTEA	Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991
IIP/ITIP	Interregional Transportation Improvement Program
ITS	Intelligent Transportation Systems
IVDA	Inland Valley Development Agency
JARC	Job Access Reverse Commute
LACMTA	Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
LNG	Liquefied Natural Gas
LTF	Local Transportation Funds
MAGLEV	Magnetic Levitation
MARTA	Mountain Area Regional Transportation Authority
MBTA	Morongo Basin Transit Authority
MDAB	Mojave Desert Air Basin
MDAQMD	Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District
MIS	Major Investment Study
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding



MPO	Metropolitan Planning Organization
MSRC	Mobile Source Air Pollution Reduction Review Committee
MTP	Metropolitan Transportation Plan
NAT	Needles Area Transit
OA	Obligation Authority
OCTA	Orange County Transportation Authority
OWP	Overall Work Program
PA&ED	Project Approval and Environmental Document
PASTACC	Public and Specialized Transportation Advisory and Coordinating Council
PDT	Project Development Team
PPM	Planning, Programming and Monitoring Funds
PSR	Project Study Report
PTA	Public Transportation Account
PVEA	Petroleum Violation Escrow Account
RCTC	Riverside County Transportation Commission
RDA	Redevelopment Agency
RFP	Request for Proposal
RIP	Regional Improvement Program
ROD	Record of Decision
RTAC	Regional Transportation Agencies' Coalition
RTIP	Regional Transportation Improvement Program
RTP	Regional Transportation Plan
RTPA	Regional Transportation Planning Agencies
SB	Senate Bill
SAFE	Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies
SANBAG	San Bernardino Associated Governments
SCAB	South Coast Air Basin
SCAG	Southern California Association of Governments
SCAQMD	South Coast Air Quality Management District
SCRRA	Southern California Regional Rail Authority
SED	Socioeconomic Data
SHA	State Highway Account
SHOPP	State Highway Operations and Protection Program
SOV	Single-Occupant Vehicle
SRTP	Short Range Transit Plan
STAF	State Transit Assistance Funds
STIP	State Transportation Improvement Program
STP	Surface Transportation Program
TAC	Technical Advisory Committee
TCM	Transportation Control Measure
TCRP	Traffic Congestion Relief Program
TDA	Transportation Development Act
TEA	Transportation Enhancement Activities
TEA-21	Transportation Equity Act for the 21 <sup>st</sup> Century
TIA	Traffic Impact Analysis
TMC	Transportation Management Center
TMEE	Traffic Management and Environmental Enhancement
TOC	Traffic Operations Center
TOPRS	Transit Operator Performance Reporting System
TSM	Transportation Systems Management
USFWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service
UZAs	Urbanized Areas
VCTC	Ventura County Transportation Commission
VTA	Victor Valley Transit Authority
WRCOG	Western Riverside Council of Governments

## ***San Bernardino Associated Governments***



### **MISSION STATEMENT**

To enhance the quality of life for all residents,  
San Bernardino Associated Governments  
(SANBAG) will:

- Improve cooperative regional planning
- Develop an accessible, efficient,  
multi-modal transportation system
- Strengthen economic development  
efforts
- Exert leadership in creative problem  
solving

To successfully accomplish this mission,  
SANBAG will foster enhanced relationships  
among all of its stakeholders while adding  
to the value of local governments.

Approved June 2, 1993  
Reaffirmed March 6, 1996